

NESTED CONDITIONAL RELATIONS (NCR) MODEL AND ALGEBRA

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/222,070 filed August 1, 2000 and is related to U.S. Patent Application No. 09/718,228 filed November 21, 2000, U.S. Patent Application No. 09/517,131 filed March 2, 2000 and U.S. Patent Application No. 09/517,468 filed March 2, 2000, which are hereby incorporated by reference.

BACKGROUND

The described technology relates generally to accessing data and
10 particularly to accessing data from data sources with diverse formats.

Large organizations may have their digital data stored in various data stores, such as databases and file systems, in diverse and incompatible formats. Different groups within the large organizations may have created their own data stores to meet the needs of the group. Each group would typically select its own type of data storage system and format to meet its particular needs. Traditionally, these data stores were created independently of any other data stores within the organization. As a result, the various data stores of an organization often contained duplicate and inconsistent data.

Recently, these large organizations have adopted standards such as the
20 extensible markup language ("XML") for representing data in a uniform format.
The use of XML by each group within an organization increases the compatibility
of the data stores. It is, however, difficult for organizations to provide an XML
interface to each of its existing data stores. The organizations would need to
expend considerable resources to provide a mapping between their existing data
25 stores or other sources of data and the XML formats.

It would be desirable to have a system that would facilitate the integrating of data stores with incompatible formats.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1 illustrates the schema of this XML document.

5 Figure 2 represents a JoinIn graph (JIG) for the match expression of Table 8.

Figure 3 is a block diagram illustrating the overall organization of an execution program generated by the data integration engine.

10 Figure 4 is a block diagram illustrating the function to generate an execution program.

Figure 5 is a flow diagram illustrating processing of the generate extract program function in one embodiment.

Figure 6 is a flow diagram illustrating the processing of the generate extract plan function in one embodiment.

15 Figure 7 is a flow diagram illustrating processing of the match expression function in one embodiment.

Figure 8 is a flow diagram illustrating the processing of the create JoinIn graph function in one embodiment.

20 Figure 9 is a flow diagram illustrating processing of the generate JoinIn graph into one embodiment.

Figure 10 illustrates the tables of the data store.

Figure 11 illustrates the results of the sorted outer union for the tables of Figure 10.

25 Figure 12 illustrates the SQL query for each of the tables of Figure 10 paid to generate the sorted outer union.

Figure 13 is a flow diagram illustrating the processing of generating a sorted outer union.

Figure 14 is a flow diagram illustrating processing of a generate SQL query function in one embodiment.

Figure 15 is a block diagram illustrating an extract program.

Figure 16 is a flow diagram that illustrates code of a join node of an extract
5 program in one embodiment.

Figure 17 illustrates the output of the nodes of the extraction plan to Figure
15.

Figure 18 illustrates a final NCR structure.

Figure 19 illustrates the Correspondence Tree.

10 DETAILED DESCRIPTION

A method and system for providing data integration of multiple data stores with diverse formats is provided. In one embodiment, the data integration engine accepts queries using a standard query language such as XML-QL, executes those queries against the multiple data stores, and returns the results. The data stores
15 may include relational databases, hierarchical databases, file systems, application data available via APIs, and so on. A query may reference data that resides in different data stores. The data integration engine allows operations such as joins across multiple data stores. In one embodiment, the data integration engine uses XML as the data model in which the data from the various data stores is
20 represented. The data integration engine processes a query by parsing the query into an internal representation, compiling and optimizing the internal representation into a physical execution representation, and then executing the execution representation. By providing a uniform and data model, the data integration engine allows access to data stores in diverse formats.

25 In one embodiment, the data integration engine executes a query on a data store by first providing a mapping of the data store format into an XML format. The query for the data store is based on XML format. The data integration engine upon receiving a query, generates a native query for the data store from the

received query using the provided mapping. The data integration engine then executes the native query to generate data in a native format needed to generate the results of the received query. The data integration engine then converts the data in the native format into data in a format referred to as nested conditional relations ("NCR"). The data integration engine then applies various operators (e.g., joins and unions) to the data in NCR format to generate the query results in an NCR format. The data integration engine then converts the results in the NCR format into an XML format. In this way, the integration engine can provide access to various data sources in different formats.

A nested conditional relation is a table in which each row may have a different schema and each column is either a primitive type or a nested NCR. The schema of each row in an NCR is indicated by a tag, which can be considered to be the zero column of the row. For example, certain rows of the table may represent employees of a company and have columns named "first name," "last name," "phone number," and so on. Other rows in the table may represent departments within the company and have columns named "department name," "department head," and so on. The tag for a row indicates whether the row is an employee or a department row. A column for a certain type of row may itself contain a nested conditional relation. For example, an employee row may include a column named "skills" that contains a table with sub-rows containing information relating to computer skills and accounting skills of the employee. The table may itself be a nested conditional relation in that each sub-row may include a tag indicating whether the row represents a computer skill or an accounting skill. The nesting of nested conditional relations may occur to an arbitrary level. The NCR format is described below in detail.

The following example illustrates a data store, a mapping for the data store, a query, an LMatch representation for the query, a JoinIn graph for the query, and an SQL query used to retrieve the data from the data source. Tables 1-3 illustrate an example of data that is stored in a data store such as a relational database. The

relational database contains three tables: DEPARTMENTS table, EMPLOYEES table, and BUILDINGSDOCS table.

TABLE 1 DEPARTMENTS

Name	Contact
Finance	E1247
Engineering	E3214

TABLE 2 EMPLOYEES

ID	Fname	Lname	Dept	Bldg	Office	Manager
E0764	Bobby	Darrows	Finance	B	102	E1247
E0334	Alice	LeGlass	Finance	B	103	E1247
E1247	David	Winston	Finance	B	110	NULL
E3214	David	McKinzie	Engineering	L	NULL	E1153
E0868	Misha	Niev	Engineering	L	15	E1153
E0012	David	Herford	Engineering	M	332	E1153
E1153	Charlotte	Burton	Engineering	M	330	E0124
E0124	David	Wong	Engineering	L	12	NULL

TABLE 3 BUILDINGSDOCS

Building	Office	Phone	MaintContact
B	102	x1102	E0764
B	103	x1103	E0764
B	110	x1110	E0764
L	lobby	x0001	E3214
L	12	x0120	E3214
L	15	x0150	E3214
M	330	x2330	E3214
M	332	x2332	E3214

5

10

15

The DEPARTMENTS table contains one row for each department of an organization. As illustrated by Table 1, the organization has a finance and an engineering department. The DEPARTMENTS table contains two columns: name and contact. The name column contains the name of the department, and the contact column contains the employee identifier of the contact person for the department. For example, the first row of the table indicates that the department is "finance" and that the contact employee is "E1247." The EMPLOYEES table contains a row for each employee in the organization. Each row includes seven columns: ID, Fname, Lname, Dept, Bldg, Office, and Manager. The ID column uniquely identifies the employee, the Fname column contains the first name of the

employee, the Lname column contains the last name of the employee, the Dept column identifies the employee's department, the Bldg column identifies the building in which the employee is located, the Office column identifies the employee's office within the building, and the Manager column identifies the employee's manager. The Dept column contains one of the values from the Name column of the DEPARTMENTS table. The BUILDINGSDOCS table contains a row for each office within each building of the organization. The BUILDINGSDOCS table contains four columns: Building, Office, Phone, and MaintContact. The Building column identifies a building, the Office column identifies an office within the building, the Phone column contains the phone number associated with that office, and the MaintContact column identifies the employee who is the maintenance contact for the office. The combination of the Building and Office columns uniquely identifies each row. The Bldg and Office columns of the EMPLOYEES table identifies a row within the BUILDINGSDOCS table.

Table 4 is an example of data stored as an XML document.

TABLE 4

```
<deptlist>
  <deptname="Finance">
    <employee>
      <name><first>Bobby</first><last>Darrows</last></name>
      <office phone="x1102"/>
    </employee>
    <employee>
      <name><first>Alice</first><last>LeGlass</last></name>
      <office phone="x1103"/>
    </employee>
    ...
  </dept>
  <dept name="Engineering">
    <employee>
      <name><first>David</first><last>McKinzie</last></name>
    </employee>
    <employee>
      <name><first>Misha</first><last>Niev</last></name>
      <office phone="x0150"/>
    </employee>
    ...
  </dept>
```

</deptlist>

The XML document includes the root element `<deptlist>` that has a name attribute and that contains a `<dept>` element corresponding to each department within an organization. Each `<dept>` element contains an `<employee>` element for each employee within the department. Each `<employee>` element contains a `<name>` element and optionally an `<office>` element. The `<name>` element includes a `<first>` element and `<last>` element. The `<office>` element includes a phone attribute. The schema of an XML document may be represented by an XML data type definition ("DTD") of the document. Figure 1 illustrates the schema of this XML document. As this figure illustrates, the schema is specified as a tree-like hierarchy with the nodes of the tree having parent-child relationships. For example, node 104 is the parent of nodes 105 and 108, which are children of node 104. Node 101 corresponds to the `<deptlist>` element and has one child node 102, which corresponds to the `<dept>` element. Node 102 has two child nodes, 103 and 104. Node 103 corresponds to the name attribute of the `<dept>` element and node 104 corresponds to the `<employee>` element. Node 104 has two child nodes 105 and 108. Node 105 corresponds to the `<name>` element and has two child nodes 106 and 107. Node 106 corresponds to the `<first>` element, and node 107 corresponds to the `<last>` element. Node 108 corresponds to the `<office>` element and has one child node 109, which corresponds to the phone attribute.

The mapping technique is particularly useful in situations where a legacy database, such as the example database of Tables 1-3, is to be accessed using queries designed for XML data, such as the example of Table 4. The XML schema may be previously defined and many different applications for accessing data based on that XML schema may have also been defined. For example, one such application may be a query of the data. An example query for semi-structured data may be an XML transform that is designed to input data in XML

format and output a subset of the data in XML format. For example, a query for the database of Tables 1-3 may be a request to list the ID of each employee in the "Finance" department. The subset of that data that is output corresponds to the results of the query represented by the XSL transform. One skilled in the art would appreciate that queries can be represented in other formats such as XML-QL. When a legacy database is to be accessed, the data is not stored using XML format. Thus, in one embodiment, a query system inputs a semi-structured query and uses a mapping table to generate a structured query, such as an SQL query, that is appropriate for accessing the legacy database. The mapping technique for generating that mapping table is described in the following.

Table 5 is a portion of the mapping table generated in accordance with the mapping technique that maps the XML schema of Table 4 to the legacy database of Tables 1-3.

TABLE 5

Row	ParentName	A/E	ChildName	Table	Pkey	Ckey
1	deptlist	E	dept	DEPARTMENTS		Name
2	dept	A	name	DEPARTMENTS	Name	Name
3	dept	E	employee	EMPLOYEES	Dept	ID
4	employee	E	name	EMPLOYEES	ID	ID
5	name	E	first	EMPLOYEES	ID	Fname
6	name	E	last	EMPLOYEES	ID	Lname
7	employee	E	office	EMPLOYEES	ID	{Bldg,Office}
8	office	A	phone	BUILDINGSDOCS	{Building,Office}	phone

The mapping table contains one row for each parent-child relationship of the XML schema. The mapping is further described in U.S. Patent Application entitled "Method and Apparatus for Storing Semi-Structured Data in a Structured Manner." As shown in Figure 1, the XML schema defines eight parent-child relationships such as the relationship between node 102 and node 104. Thus, the mapping table contains eight rows. Each row uniquely identifies a parent-child relationship using the ParentName and ChildName columns. For example, the parent-child relationship of node 102 and node 104 is represented by row 3 as

indicated by the ParentName of "dept" and the ChildName of "employee." Each row maps the parent-child relationship to the table in the legacy database that corresponds to that relationship. In the example of row 3, the Table column indicates that the "dept-employee" relationship maps to the EMPLOYEES table.

5 The query system could use only the ParentName, ChildName, and Table columns of the mapping table to generate a structured query from a semi-structured query. For example, if the legacy database had used the same column names as defined by the elements of the XML schema (*e.g.*, "employee" rather than "ID"), then only these three columns would be needed to generate the structured query. For
10 example, if the semi-structured query requested an identifier of all employees within the finance department and the DEPARTMENTS table contained an "employee" column rather than an "ID" column, then the query system could input a semi-structured query with only these three columns and generate a structured query. In the more general case where the columns of the legacy database are
15 arbitrarily named, the mapping table includes a parent key column ("PKey") and a child key column ("CKey"). The parent key column contains the name of the column that identifies the parent of the parent-child relationship. The child key column contains the name of the column that identifies the child of the parent-child relationship. For example, in row 3, the parent is identified by the "dept"
20 column and the child is identified by the "ID" column in the EMPLOYEES table. Thus, to generate the structured query to retrieve the ID of an employee within the finance department, the query that uses a select clause of EMPLOYEES.dept="Finance" would be used. Table 5 also includes a column named "A/E" to indicate whether the row corresponds to an element within the
25 semi-structured data or an attribute of an element with semi-structured data. As illustrated by rows 7 and 8, some of the parent and child keys actually consist of multiple columns that uniquely identify a row in the corresponding table. For example, the rows of the BUILDINGSDOCS table are uniquely identified by a combination of the Building and Office columns.

The query system maps the selections within the semi-structured query to selections within a structured query. The following illustrates the basic format of that mapping when the structured query is an SQL format.

```
5      SELECT {TABLE}.{CKEY}
      FROM {TABLE}
      WHERE {TABLE}.{PKEY} = pkey
```

The TABLE, CKEY, and PKEY parameters are replaced by the
10 corresponding values from the row in the mapping table for the parent-child
relationships specified by the selection. In other words, this query will find all the
children given the key for the parent. The following illustrates the format of the
mapping when the query represents the identification of the idea of all employees
within the finance department.

```
SELECT EMPLOYEES.ID
FROM EMPLOYEES
WHERE EMPLOYEES.Dept = "Finance"
```

The query system also allows chaining of keys to effectively navigate through the hierarchy defined by the semi-structured data. The query system uses the joint concept of relationship databases to effect this chaining of keys. The following illustrates chaining:

```

25      SELECT {TABLE2}.{CKEY2}
      FROM {TABLE1},{TABLE2}
      WHERE {TABLE1}.{PKEY1} = pkey && {TABLE1}.{CKEY1}=
      {TABLE2}.{PKEY2}

```

30 The TABLE1, PKEY1, and CKEY1 parameters are derived from the first parent-child relationship in the chain, and the TABLE2 , PKEY2, and CKEY2 parameters are derived from the second parent-child relationship in the chain. The child key associated with the first parent-child relationship matches the parent key associated with the second parent-child relationship. The following is an example

of the chaining to identify the building for the employees of the finance department.

```
SELECT BUILDINGSDOCS.BUILDING
FROM EMPLOYEES, BUILDINGSDOCS WHERE EMPLOYEES = "Finance" &&
EMPLOYEES.BLDG = BUILDINGSDOCS.BUILDING &&
EMPLOYEES.OFFICE = BUILDINGSDOCS.OFFICE
```

In one embodiment, the mapping table also contains the value rows corresponding to each leaf node, that is a node that is not a parent node. The leaf nodes of Figure 1 are nodes 103, 106, 107, and 109. In one embodiment, each value row identifies an XML element or attribute, the table in the legacy database that contains an element, and the name of the column in the table that contains the value for that element or attribute. Table 6 illustrates the four value rows for the mapping associated with Tables 1-3 and Table 4.

TABLE 6

Row	A/E	Name	Table	Key	Value
9	A	name	DEPARTMENTS	Name	Name
10	E	first	EMPLOYEES	Fname	FName
11	E	last	EMPLOYEES	Lname	LName
12	A	phone	BUILDINGSDOCS	Phone	Phone

The "A/E" column identifies whether the row is an attribute or element; the "Name" column identifies the name of the element and attributes; the "Table" column identifies the legacy table; the "Key" column identifies the key for that table; and the "Value" column identifies the name of the column where the value is stored.

Table 7 illustrates a query that is to be applied to the data of Tables 1-3. The query indicates to return the first and last names and phone number of each employee in the engineering department.

Table 7

WHERE
<deptlist>
<dept name="Engineering">
<employee>
<name><first>\$first</first><last>\$last</last></name>
<office phone="\$ph"/>
</employee>
</dept>
</deptlist>
CONSTRUCT
<employee><name>\$last, \$first</name><phone>\$ph</phone></employee>

The data integration engine generates a "match expression" for a logical match operation ("LMatch") for the query when compiling the query. The logical match operation supports operations for performing XML navigation. The match expression defines a tree of navigations. Each node of the tree indicates a navigation type (e.g., child, parent, or sibling), a navigation condition (e.g., a condition on the name of the child), whether the navigation is required, whether there should be a binding to the target of the navigation (i.e., a value returned with the specified name), and whether the result should be nested.

Table 8 illustrates a match expression for the XML of Table 4 for the query of Table 7. Each row of Table 8 represents a different navigation path. For example, the first row represents a navigation path from the root of the deptlist element to its child element of the dept element and then to the name attribute of the dept element. The remaining rows represent different branches on the tree. For example, the second row represents the branch of *root*(deptlist), *child*(dept), *child*(employee), *child*(name), and *child*(first). The symbols prefixed with "\$" represent bindings.

Table 8

<i>root</i> (deptlist)	<i>child</i> (dept)	<i>child</i> (name,\$auto1)		
		<i>child</i> (employee)	<i>child</i> (name)	<i>child</i> (first, \$first)
				<i>child</i> (last, \$last)
			<i>child</i> (office)	<i>child</i> (phone, \$ph)

Figure 2 represents a JoinIn graph (JIG) for the match expression of Table 8. The JoinIn graph is a data structure that facilitates the optimization of the query

to be executed against the data store. This JIG indicates that the Departments, Employees, and Buildingdocs tables of the data store are to be joined together. This JIG also indicates the bindings (*e.g.*, \$first) and the join columns (*e.g.*, Name and Dept). The format of the JIG is described below in detail. The JIG is
 5 generated from the match expression using the mapping. The data integration engine then generates the query to be executed. The following query is generated.

```

SELECT EMPLOYEES.Fname, EMPLOYEES.Lname, BUILDINGSDOCS.phone
FROM DEPARTMENTS, EMPLOYEES, BUILDINGSDOCS
WHERE DEPARTMENTS.Name = EMPLOYEES.Dept AND
      EMPLOYEES.Bldg = BUILDINGSDOCS.Building AND
      EMPLOYEES.Office = BUILDINGSDOCS.Office AND
      DEPARTMENTS.NAME = "Engineering"
  
```

Figure 3 is a block diagram illustrating the overall organization of an execution program generated by the data integration engine. An execution program consist of an extract program 310 and a construct program 320. A compiler of the data integration engine generates the execution program during a compilation phase. The extract program is a series of operations on a data
 20 extracted from the data sources. The extract program represents a graph of the operations. The leaf nodes 311 of the extract program represents a sorted outer union operation applied to the data stores 312. The compiler generates a query for each data store in the native query language of the data store to retrieve the results of the sorted outer union. The compiler generates the sorted outer union using the
 25 LMatch operation, JoinIn graph, and mapping. During execution of the extract program, the generated query is applied to each data store. The construct program accesses the root node 313 of the extract program which retrieves the results generated by the extract program. The construct program collects the data and formats it into an XML output. As discussed below in more detail, the output of
 30 each operation of the extract program is in a nested conditional relation format.

Figure 5 is a flow diagram illustrating processing of the generate extract
10 program function in one embodiment. In block 501, the function generates an
extract plan. In block 502, the function identifies fragments of the extract plan. A
fragment of an extract plan are the set of operations that are applied to data
derived from a single data source. Operations that apply to data from multiple
data sources are grouped into one fragment. In block 503, the function optimizes
15 the operations of the fragments and then returns.

Figure 6 is a flow diagram illustrating the processing of the generate extract plan function in one embodiment. In block 601, the function receives the XML query. In block 602, the function generates a match expression for the logical match associated with the data store. In block 603, the function creates the JoinIn graph from the match expression using the mapping for the data store. In block 604, the function generates the native query from the JoinIn graph. The function indicates additional processing to generate the extract plan from the JoinIn graph. Blocks 602-604 illustrate the generation of the native query for the sorted outer union of the leaf nodes of the extract plan. The ellipses indicate other processing performed by the function. The function then returns.

Figure 7 is a flow diagram illustrating processing of the match expression function in one embodiment. This function is passed an XML node representing the data store and returns the match expression. This function is recursively invoked for each child node of the passed XML node. In block 701, the function

5

10

15

23

The arrows between the tables illustrate joins between tables. For example, arrow 1001 represents a join between the third column of table 1.1 and the first column of table 2.1. Figure 11 illustrates the results of the sorted outer union for the tables of Figure 10. Figure 12 illustrates the SQL query for each of the tables of Figure 10 that are used to generate the sorted outer union.

Figure 13 is a flow diagram illustrating the processing of a function to generate a sorted outer union. In block 1301, the function selects the next table of the source data store. In decision block 1302, if all the tables have already been selected, the function continues at block 1304, else the function continues at block 1303. In block 1303, the function invokes the generate SQL query for the selected table and then loops to block 1301 to select the next table. In block 1304, the function executes the generate SQL queries against the tables. In block 1305, the function aggregates of the result of the queries into a table. In block 1306, the function sorts the results and then returns.

Figure 14 is a flow diagram illustrating processing of a generate SQL query function in one embodiment. In block 1401, the function outputs a select, from, and where clause for the query. In blocks 1402-1408, the function loops selecting each table in a join path of the data store. In block 1402, the function selects the next table in the path. In decision block 1403, if all the tables have already been selected, then the function returns, else the function continues at block 1404. In block 1404, the function adds the table to the from clause. In block 1405, the function adds the table to the where clause. In block 1406-1408, the function loops selecting each column of the selected table. In block 1406, the function selects the next column. In decision block 1407, if all the columns have already been selected, then the function loops to block 1402 to select the next table of the path, else the function updates the select clause with the column and then loops to block 1406 to select the next column. Columns of tables not in the selected path are set to null.

Figure 15 is a block diagram illustrating an extract program. Each of the leaf nodes 1501-1505 represent SQL queries that are applied to a data source. Node 1506 represents a nesting of the results of nodes 1501 and 1502. Node 1507 represents a nesting of the results of nodes 1506 and 1503. Node 1508 represents a selection on the results of node 1507. Node 1509 represents a nesting of the results of nodes 1504 and 1505. Node 1510 represents a join of the results of nodes 1508 and 1509. Node 1511 represents a projection of the results of node 1510. Node 1512 represents the construct program that accesses the extract program.

Figure 16 is a flow diagram that illustrates code of a join node of an extract program in one embodiment. In one embodiment, the processing of each node of extract program is performed a pipeline manner, that is each node returns only the data needed to satisfy the next request from the construct program. In decision block 1601, if the right node is a fully processed, then the function continues at block 1602, else the function continues at block 1605. In decision block 1602, if the left node of the join is fully processed, then the function returns, else the function continues at block 1603. In block 1603, the function retrieves at the next results from the left node. In block 1604, the function initializes the right node based on the results returned from the left node. In block 1605, the function retrieves the next results from the right node. In decision block 1606, if the results returned from the right node are contained in nested table, then the function returns an iterator for that table, else the function returns the results. The iterator for a table is an optimization that allows nodes higher in the extract program to retrieve subsequent rows of the nested table without having to invoke lower-level nodes in the extract program.

Figure 17 illustrates the output of the nodes of the extraction plan to Figure 15. When the construct program 1712 invokes root node 1711 of the extract program, that invocation is propagated down to the leaf nodes. The SQL query of node 1701 returns result 1713, and the SQL query of node 1702 returns result

10

Illegible text in a vertical column, likely a page number or index reference.

15

20

30

The LMatch operator defines a match against XML data. The pattern is defined by the "match expression," which is a tree of navigation steps. Each navigation step describes a "movement" from a *source* element or attribute to a *target* element or attribute. The parameters of the navigation step that govern navigation are as following:

- The type of movement or navigation (*child*, *parent*, *descendant* etc.) The navigation types are based on XPath axes.
- The name of the target element or attribute, which may be a wild card.
- Whether the target should be an element, an attribute, or either.
- Whether the navigation is *optional* or not.

LMatch matching is top-down on the tree of navigation steps. That is, the match begins at the root of both the XML document and the root of the match expression. Matches for the first navigation step are sought in the entire XML document. If the first navigation is a *root* navigation, then it matches the root of the XML document (where we interpret root to be the root *element*, not the *document* item, as defined in DOM). If the first navigation step is something other than *root*, it is as a navigation from the *root*.

Once a node or set of nodes have been identified for the first navigation, the algorithm proceeds recursively: given a matched node, attempt each of the child navigations from the navigation tree (where child here means "child in the navigation tree," rather than *child* type node). Each attempted navigation will itself yield a new set of zero or more matches, which are then continued in the next level of the recursion, and so forth. While the recursion proceeds down the navigation tree, the navigations do not necessarily proceed "down" the XML tree; navigation types can move in arbitrary directions within the XML document (e.g., *ancestor* or *preceding_sibling*).

If an attempted navigation yields zero matches from some source node, then that navigation is said to have *failed*. If the navigation was not marked as *optional=true*, then the failure of the navigation causes the source node to be

"unmatched." The following match expression illustrates the failure of a navigation;

self(a,\$a)—child(b,\$b)—child(c,\$c)

5 The first navigation step may yield a single element <a>. The second step may yield a set of elements, some of which contain <c> elements and some of which do not. When the final navigation is evaluated, it will, for some elements, yield no results. If the navigation is optional (*optional=true*), then all the elements are included in the result. If, however, the navigation is required
10 (*optional=false*), then those elements that contain no <c> elements are removed from the set of matches for *child(b)* from the root <a> element. The result contains only elements that actually contain <c> elements. If no elements remain after this process, then the failure propagates upward, "unmatching" the <a> elements (unless the *child(b)* navigation was optional).

15 The evaluation of an LMatch operator is a three stage process: first, *match* the pattern within the LMatch operator against some source of XML; second, *connect* columns in the LMatch pattern with their associated items in the information set of the XML source; and thirs, *structure* those connected columns (the extracted information) into an NCR as indicated by the nesting settings on
20 individual navigations. That is, an LMatch operator specifies a structural pattern that is sought after in a document, specifies which parts of that pattern should be returned, and specifies how the returned parts should be organized. The output of an LMatch operator is an NCR that contains the returned parts, organized as specified.

25 The parameters of the LMatch that govern how results are constructed are these:

- The set of *columns* returned. An NCR column "names" some piece of information returned from an element or attribute node that has been matched. There are several kinds of columns:

- value: the contents of a simple element or attribute
- subtree: the entire element (not applicable to attributes)
- name: the name of the element or attribute
- text: the text value of an element (used to extract text from mixed-content elements; in a simple element it is equivalent to 'value')
- table: the table column gives a name to the entire set of results when *nested=true*

- Whether or not the results of the navigation should be *nested*.

Each navigation step may have one or more of the column types present.

10 The type of the column is derived from the type of the corresponding contents of the XML document (except for the table column).

These columns are structured into an NCR based on the *nested* flag and the table column: If *nested=true*, then the table column was specified, and the navigation creates a nested NCR. This NCR contains all the other columns for this navigation step, as well as all the columns generated by the subtree of navigations beneath it. For example:

self(a,--)—*Nchild(b,\$b,\$btable)*—*child(c,\$c)*
 —*child(d,\$d)*

20 The root (top-level) navigation may also be nested or unnested. In addition, the LMatch operator, like other operators, provides an additional column that names the its entire schema. The *child(b)* navigation is a nested navigation that results in a nested NCR, named *\$btable*, in the result. This NCR will contain columns *\$b* (because *\$b* is a column on the *child(b)* navigation) and *\$c* (because *\$c* is a column on a navigation in *child(b)*'s subtree). Figure 18 illustrates a final NCR structure.

A depth-first traversal of the match expression of an LMatch operator is used to construct the columns of the output NCR. As a result, the LMatch

operator also defines an ordering of the columns as well as their structure and names.

When a navigation matches multiple times, then the results differ based on whether the navigation is nested. If the navigation is a *nested* navigation, then a nested NCR is created, which will contain the matches. But if the navigation is not nested, then the results are combined via a cross-product with all the other columns in the same table. So, if one element contained multiple <c> elements, the \$btable would contain the corresponding -<c> pairs. Navigations that are not nested can be treated as a special case of nested navigations. Thus, an LMatch operator can be evaluated as if all navigations are nested. Then, for each navigation that is actually nested, a an LFlatten operation can be used to remove the table corresponding to the nesting.

A subtree column results in the entire XML subtree, tags and all, being returned as an atomic value. (This corresponds to the ELEMENT_AS notation in XML-QL.) The compiler transforms this column into a more complex LMatch expression that "pulls apart" the entire subtree contents and modifies the rest of the execution unit to reconstruct the result back into a subtree when needed. As a result, subtree columns exist initially, but they are replaced with more complex patterns. Before they are rewritten, the subtree columns are modeled in the NCR schema as a single, static column. After the rewrite, they begin with a table-valued column containing the nested results.

Advantages of the LMatch operator being a single, complex operation include:

1. When queries are generated for query languages which themselves contain some form of matching operations, then mapping onto those operations is enabled.
2. Certain optimizations that may be done on navigational matching are better enabled by capturing succinctly the navigation that is being done. In particular, reasoning about substitution of a descendant relation with a

union of paths, and vice versa. Also, also reasoning about document order relations.

3. The LMatch operator combines two kinds of capabilities into a single operator: navigational operations and composition of the results into a complex structure (the NCR). This allows a concise representation of a very common idiom.

The LMatch operator can be matched against a tree that represents an XML *generator*, rather than the actual XML document. For example,

- The XML RDB Map can be interpreted as a generator of an XML document from a relational database. Matching the LMatch operator against an XML RDB Map is a fundamental step in converting the XML query into SQL.
- The Construct Program of a query can be interpreted as a generator of an XML document from an NCR. Matching the LMatch operator against a Construct Program is a fundamental step in composing views.

The algorithm for matching against tree-structured XML generators is very similar to the algorithm for matching against XML input directly. One difference is that where matching against an XML document generates tuples of output, matching against a generator generally produces a *Correspondence Tree*, which encodes all the potential correspondence points between the nodes of the generator and the navigation steps of the LMatch.

An XML generator is a tree (actually, a forest suffices) where the nodes in the tree represent the generation of XML elements or attributes or their values, and arcs between nodes represent inclusion. For example:

```

element("person")—attribute("ssn")—value()
                  —element("name")—value()
                  —element("address")—value()

```

The XML generator also indicates the *arity* of each arc. The values for arity are *optional* (0 or 1), *singular* (exactly one) and *multiple* (0 or more). If an

arc is marked *multiple*, then the generator can generate more than one instance of the child node for each parent instance. In the above example, if the arc between "person" and "name" were marked multiple, then a person could have zero or more names. The arity of an arc is indicated by a subscript on the arc as shown in the following:

```

element("person")—sattribute("ssn")—value()
                  —Melement("name")—value()
                  —Oelement("address")—value()

```

When no arity is indicated, *singular* is assumed. If it is not possible to derive arity information from the generator, then *multiple* is assumed, since it is the most general case.

The Correspondence Tree tracks which navigation steps in the LMatch operator correspond with which nodes in the XML generator. The Correspondence Tree would be isomorphic to the LMatch navigation graph except for one thing: any given navigation step might match against *multiple* nodes in the generator. The following is an example of an XML generator, an LMatch operator, and the corresponding Correspondence Tree:

The XML generator:

```

element("person")1—attribute("ssn")2—value()3
                  —element("name")4—value()5
                  —element("name")6—value()7

```

The LMatch:

```

self(person)1—child(ssn)2
              —child(name)3

```

Figure 19 illustrates the Correspondence Tree.

The subscripts on nodes in the generator and LMatch distinguish otherwise identical nodes when they appear in the Correspondence Tree. The Correspondence Tree is "read" as: "The *root* navigation has a single match, namely the element("person")₁ node of the XML generator. From this generator node, the next LMatch navigation, *child*(name)₃, is matched against two different generator nodes, and so on.

The Correspondence Tree is a *bipartite graph*. A bipartite graph is one in which nodes come in two different alternating types. In this case, the node types are called *navigation nodes* (which reference navigation steps, and are pictorially indicated with brackets []) and *choice nodes* (which reference generator nodes, and are pictorially indicated with braces { }). A bipartite graph is interpreted as having two different kinds of arcs, which are indicated by lines of different weights: light lines are *choice arcs* (arcs from navigation to choice nodes, choosing amongst multiple correspondences) and heavy lines are *navigation arcs* (arcs from choice to navigation nodes, following the navigation relationships in the LMatch operator).

A *correspondence* is a (navigation step, generator node) pair of a correspondence tree. A correspondence is derived from a choice node by including the navigation step from the parent. For example, the following subgraph of a correspondence tree yields the following correspondence:

subtree: [*child*(name)₃] — { S: element("name")₄ }
correspondence: { *child*(name)₃, element("name")₄ }

The following matching algorithm generates the Correspondence Tree, given an LMatch operator and an XML generator as input. The algorithm is a top-down recursion over the LMatch navigation graph.

The XML generator has the following operations:

```
XMLGenerator.root() → ordered list of GeneratorNode
GeneratorNode.type() → { "element" | "attribute" | "value" }
GeneratorNode.name() → GName
GeneratorNode.genChildren() → list of GeneratorNode
GeneratorNode.arity(childNode) → { "S" | "M" | "O" }
```

In this example, the LMatch operator is limited to the following navigation types: *root*, *child*, *self*. The *nested* flag on LMatch navigation steps is irrelevant to matching. The LMatch operator provides the following pseudo code for accessing the match expression:

```

LMatch.root() → NavStep
NavStep.type() → { "root" | "child" | "self" }
NavStep.ea() → { "element" | "attribute" | "either" }
NavStep.name() → NName
NavStep.navChildren() → list of NavStep
NavStep.optional() → boolean

```

- There is also a function, `nameMatch(GName, NName) → boolean`, that returns true or false as the name from a generator node matches the name of an
- 5 LMatch navigation. The Correspondence Tree provides the following operations:

```

CorrespondenceTree.root() → NavigationNode
CorrespondenceTree.createRoot( NavStep )

NavigationNode.new( NavStep )
NavigationNode.navStep() → NavStep
  // Model .navStep().type()
NavigationNode.type() → { "root" | "child" | "self" }
  // And .navStep().name()
NavigationNode.name() → NName
NavigationNode.choiceChildren() → list of ChoiceNode

NavigationNode.addChoiceChild( ChoiceNode )

ChoiceNode.new( GeneratorNode, arity )
ChoiceNode.generatorNode() → GeneratorNode
ChoiceNode.type() → { "element" | "attribute" | "value" } // ditto
ChoiceNode.name() → GName // ditto
ChoiceNode.arity() → { "S" | "M" | "O" } // ditto
ChoiceNode.navChildren() → list of NavigationNode

ChoiceNode.addNavChild( NavigationNode )

```

The following illustrates the BuildCorrespondence function that is invoked to build a Correspondence Tree for an XML generator and an LMatch operator:

10

```

// Assume a rooted LMatch match expression;
// normalize the LMatch to make this true if necessary.
BuildCorrespondence( XMLGenerator g, LMatch lm )
{
  // create the correspondence tree
  ct <- new CorrespondenceTree
  nn <- new NavigationNode( lm.root() )
  // bootstrap the first level of expansion,
  // matching root against roots

```

```

ct.addRoot( nn )
foreach( gn in g.getRoot() ) {
  cn <- new ChoiceNode( gn, "M" )
  if ( addNavs( nn, cn ) )
    nn->addChoiceChild( cn )
}
return ct
}

```

The form of the algorithm is mutual recursion between two functions, each of which extends the graph by one level, or fails to do so (because there is no match). The subroutines return boolean values indicating whether or not they were successful; this value is then used to determine whether or not to continue and whether or not to actually add nodes to the graph. The following is the pseudo code for the addNavs function:

```

// From a given corresponding navigation and choice node pair,
// extend the choice node for each child navigation of the
// navstep.
boolean addNavs( NavNode nn, ChoiceNode cn )
{
  foreach( step in nn.navStep().navChildren() ) {
    stepnavnode <- new NavigationNode( step )
    success <- addChoices( cn, stepnavnode )
    // if a navigation is optional, we include the navNode,
    // even if it failed (the navNode will have no choice children)
    if ( success || step.optional() )
      cn->addNavChild( stepnavnode )
    else // failure of a required navigation; abort
      return false
  }
  // if no required navigation failed, return true
  return true
}

```

10

The following is the pseudo code for the addChoices function:

```

// Given a location in the generator and a requested
// navigation, "follow" the navigation in the generator tree,
// finding a new layer of correspondences.
boolean addChoices( ChoiceNode cn, NavNode nn )
{
  success <- false
  foreach( gn in follow( cn.generatorNode(), nn.navStep() ) ) {
    choicenode <- new ChoiceNode( gn, cn.generatorNode().arity(gn) )
    thissuccess <- addNavs( nn, choicenode )
  }
}

```

```

        if ( thissuccess ) {
            nn->addChoiceChild( choicenode )
            success <- true
        }
    }
    // return true if at least one choice worked out
    return success;
}

```

The following is the pseudo code for the follow function:

```

// Implement the actual navigation; this would be extended
// with more types of navigation as the LMatch is extended
// (and would probably require the generator to support more
// powerful navigations as well, at least parent()).
List<GeneratorNode> follow( GeneratorNode gn, NavStep nav )
{
    List<GeneratorNode> result <- ();
    switch( nav.type() ) {
    case "self" :
        if ( nameMatch( gn.name(), nav.name() ) )
            result.add( gn )
    case "child" :
        foreach ( gnkid in gn.genChildren() )
            if ( (nav.ea() == "element" || nav.ea() == "either")
                && gnkid.type() == "element"
                && nameMatch( gn.name(), nav.name() ) )
                result.add( gn )
            else if ( (nav.ea() == "attribute" || nav.ea() == "either")
                && gnkid.type() == "attribute"
                && nameMatch( gn.name(), nav.name() ) )
                result.add( gn )
    case "root" :
        foreach ( r in xmlGenerator.root() ) // [1]
            if ( nameMatch( r.name(), nav.name() ) )
                result.add( r )
    }
    return result
}

```

- 5 The BuildCorrespondence algorithm presented above does not match against actual XML data. However, an XML document may be considered a degenerate XML generators with singular-arity arcs and constant value nodes and and NCR is built rather than a Correspondence Tree . The relationship between a Correspondence Tree and an NCR is as follows:

- The values for any particular navigation are the concatenation of the values for each choice below that navigation; the result is a list of data.
- For nested navigations, the rows of the nested table are that list of data.
- For an unnested navigation that is at most singular, then the list of data can contain only 0 or 1 rows. In this case, the NCR column is essentially a field that is filled in the by value.
- In general for unnested navigations, the list of data is "joined against" the existing rows of the containing table. If the navigation is optional, the join is an outer join, if required, an inner join. If the list has multiple entries, the effect is a cross product against the other contents of the table.

Because navigations can result in failure that propagates recursively upwards, matches to the leaves are evaluated before committing to any results. Alternatively, the LMatch operation could contain only optional navigations or only required navigations in cases where the data will be present. Similarly, it is possible to eliminate the need to handle joins or cross products by limiting the LMatch operator to only allow unnested navigations when the data is at most singular.

Two type of normalization that can be performed on LMatch operators are removal of (non-root) *self* navigations and removal of implicit cross-products. The normalized LMatch operator would consist only of a single root *self* navigation and following *child* navigations, where for each child navigation, *nested=true*. Alternatively, the normalization could cover either (*nested=true*) or (*nested=false* and *optional=false* and the child is known to exist in a strict 1:1 relationship with the parent). Additional normalizations, such as requiring *optional=true* on all nested *child* steps, may also possible.

To normalize the LMatch operator, additional operators are inserted to the Logical Extract Program to compensate for the changes to the LMatch operator. These logical operators include the LSelect, LFlatten, and LBox operators. The LSelect operator removes tuples from a table based on some condition. The

LFlatten operator flattens a nested table within an NCR. The operator is applied to a single nested table, and the process of flattening removes that table. The LFlatten operator has a boolean parameter "outer" indicating whether the flattening operation should behave like an inner or left outer join—that is, if the nested table is empty, does flattening remove the containing row or not. The LBox operator serves to introduce an artificial level of nesting within a table.

A singular relationship between a child navigation and its parent navigation is identified by examining the XML schema of the data that the LMatch operates against. Initially, the matching algorithm has been run. After that, it can be determined, for each navigation step, which place(s) in the schema the LMatch operator could match. From that information, and from the cardinality information available in the schema, it can be identified whether the singular condition holds.

The first version of the algorithm generates an LMatch that contains a single, top-level *self* navigation and otherwise contains only *child* navigations. All navigations (including the *self* at the top) have *nested=true*. The resulting navigations may have *optional=true* or *optional=false*. The implementation can be styled in a bottom-up or top-down traversal, but note that in either case compensating operators are to be inserted at both the bottom and top of the chain.

The table below illustrates the various cases that can arise. The right-hand column has examples of the transformations. Here is a sample XML document this can be tested against:

```
<a>a1<b>b1</b>
  <c>c1<d>d1</d></c></a>
<a>a2<b>b1</b><b>b2</b>
  <c>c1<d>d1</d></c>
  <c>c2<d>d2</d></c></a>
<a>a3
  <c>c1<d>d1</d></c>

  <c>c2<d>d2</d><d>d3</d></c></a>
<a>a4<b>b1</b></a>
```

Figures 20-25 illustrate normalization.

If the step is the root <i>self</i> step of the navigation tree, and has <i>nested=false</i> , treat it the same way as a <i>child</i> step with <i>nested=false</i> (see below).	Figure 20
If the step is a <i>child</i> step with <i>nested=true</i> , do nothing.	
If the step is a <i>child</i> step with <i>nested=false</i> , set <i>nested=true</i> , autogenerate a table column for the new nested table, and add an LFlatten operator to compensate. The LFlatten target is set to the nested table that this step generates.	Figure 20
If the child step has <i>optional=true</i> , the LFlatten must be an "outer" LFlatten.	Figure 21
If the step is a <i>self</i> step with <i>optional=false</i> , and <i>nested=false</i> , remove the step, migrating the children of the <i>self</i> step to the parent. If the <i>self</i> step had columns on anything, add those columns to the parent step. If one of the migrated columns is a duplicate of an existing column of the parent step, use renaming to remove one of the columns from the entire LEP.	Figure 22
If the step is a <i>self</i> step with <i>optional=true</i> and <i>nested=true</i> , remove the step, migrating the children of the <i>self</i> step to the parent. If the <i>self</i> step had columns on anything other than its table column, add those columns to the parent step, unless it would clash with an existing column on the parent step. In that case, add an LDup operator to make a new copy of the <i>self</i> step's column. Determine the total set of columns that 'belong to' the <i>self</i> step (including the result of the LDup, if any), and insert an LBox operator to nest those columns, giving the result the original table name from the omitted <i>self</i> . The LBox operator is inserted after the LDup, if there is one, but before all other steps.	Fig 23
If the step is a <i>self</i> step with <i>optional=false</i> and <i>nested=true</i> , proceed as in the case above. Then add an LSelect operator to test for emptiness of nested table created by the LBox. Unlike other operator additions, this LSelect operator must be added to the <i>end</i> of the chain of operators that have been added, so that it operates only after any flattenings have been done at deeper levels of nesting.	Fig 24
If the step is a <i>self</i> step with <i>optional=true</i> and <i>nested=false</i> , proceed as in the case above, except instead of an LSelect step at the end, insert an LFlatten with <i>outer=true</i> .	

In one embodiment, the following optimization may be applied. If an LBox is followed by the flattening of all its columns, the nested tables can be joined with a sequence of LJoin operators (as cross products) instead. This optimization could be performed either during this algorithm, or as a post-processing step. To illustrate, the last example above could be rewritten as shown in Figure 25:

Alternatively, the normalization can be modified to state that only *nested=true* are added to child steps that can have multiple (or, possibly, optional) values. This normalization is may be easier for inputs to create NCR' in which 1:1

NCR extends relational algebra in two ways. First, it makes relations *heterogeneous* (i.e., allows them to contain records of different types). Each record is accompanied by a tag, describing its type, hence the term *conditional relation*. Second, relations can be nested. The value of an attribute can be either atomic (e.g., int, float, string) or another NCR.

Traditional relational data models have tables that are homogeneous and flat and selection and projection operators select a subset of rows and fields in a table. A homogenous table is one that has rows of the same type. A flat table has atomic fields, that is fields in the first normal form. The following table is a traditional relational data model.

Depts

Name	ID	Phone	Floor
Payroll	P	2345	3
Payroll-Temps	P2	2244	1
Payroll-NJ	P3	2345	4
Engineering	E	7654	6
ReEngineering	E2	2244	3
Marketing-US	MU	1818	4
Marketing-Europe	ME	9876	2

Each row in the table is a record of the same type:

[Name : string, ID : string, Phone : int, Floor : int]

- 5 Each field in the row is an atomic type. The table is a *set* of such rows. Its type is:

Depts: {[Name : string, ID : string, Phone : int, Floor : int]}

The following selection operation (σ):

$\sigma_{\text{Floor} > 3}(\text{Depts})$

10

results in a subset of the original table, consisting of the highlighted rows below:

Name	ID	Phone	Floor
Payroll	P	2345	3
Payroll-Temps	P2	2244	1
Payroll-NJ	P3	2345	4
Engineering	E	7654	6
ReEngineering	E2	2244	3
Marketing-US	MU	1818	4
Marketing-Europe	ME	9876	2

The following projection operation (Π):

- 15 $\Pi_{\text{Name, Phone}}(\sigma_{\text{Floor} > 3}(\text{Depts}))$

results in a subset of the original table, consisting of the highlighted rows below:

<i>Name</i>	<i>ID</i>	<i>Phone</i>	<i>Floor</i>
Payroll	P	2345	3
Payroll-Temps	P2	2244	1
Payroll-NJ	P3	2345	4
Engineering	E	7654	6
ReEngineering	E2	2244	3
Marketing-US	MU	1818	4
Marketing-Europe	ME	9876	2

The *Nested Conditional Relation* model (NCRs) has tables that are heterogeneous and nested and have generalized version of selection and projection the select a subset of the fields.

1.2 Conditional Relations

- 5 A heterogeneous collection, or *conditional relation* is a relation which may have rows of different types. The Dept and Persons persons tables below are of the traditional relational model.

Depts

<i>Name</i>	<i>ID</i>	<i>Phone</i>	<i>Floor</i>
Payroll	P	2345	3
Payroll-Temps	P2	2244	1
Payroll-NJ	P3	2345	4
Engineering	E	7654	6
ReEngineering	E2	2244	3
Marketing-US	MU	1818	4
Marketing-Europe	ME	9876	2

Persons

<i>SSN</i>	<i>Name</i>	<i>Salary</i>
123456789	Smith	44444
234567890	John	55555
111111111	Sue	66666

10

A heterogeneous table consisting of departments and persons is obtained by interleaving the rows of the **Depts** and **Persons** tables as shown below:

DepartmentsPersons

Dept:	<i>Name</i>	<i>ID</i>	<i>Phone</i>	<i>Floor</i>
	Payroll	P	2345	3
Dept:	<i>Name</i>	<i>ID</i>	<i>Phone</i>	<i>Floor</i>
	Payroll-Temps	P2	2244	1
Pers:	<i>SSN</i>	<i>Name</i>	<i>Salary</i>	
	123456789	Smith	44444	
Pers:	<i>SSN</i>	<i>Name</i>	<i>Salary</i>	
	234567890	John	55555	
Dept:	<i>Name</i>	<i>ID</i>	<i>Phone</i>	<i>Floor</i>
	Payroll-NJ	P3	2345	4
Dept:	<i>Name</i>	<i>ID</i>	<i>Phone</i>	<i>Floor</i>
	Engineering	E	7654	6
Pers:	<i>SSN</i>	<i>Name</i>	<i>Salary</i>	
	111111111	Sue	66666	
Dept:	<i>Name</i>	<i>ID</i>	<i>Phone</i>	<i>Floor</i>
	ReEngineering	E2	2244	3
Dept:	<i>Name</i>	<i>ID</i>	<i>Phone</i>	<i>Floor</i>
	Marketing-US	MU	1818	4
Dept:	<i>Name</i>	<i>ID</i>	<i>Phone</i>	<i>Floor</i>
	Marketing-Europe	ME	9876	2

- 5 The Departments rows have four fields and the Persons rows have only three fields. To represent such a table, a *tag* is added to each row. The value of the tag can be either **Dept** or **Pers**. Each row has a structure that depends on this tag. The type of such a row is called a *tagged union type*, and is denoted as:

<**Dept**: [**Name** : string, **ID** : string, **Phone** : int, **Floor** : int] |
Pers: [**SSN** : int, **Name**: string, **Salary**: int]>

- 10 A value of this type is either a record of type [**Name** : string, **ID** : string, **Phone** : int, **Floor** : int] preceded by the tag **Dept**, or a record of type [**SSN** : int, **Name**: string, **Salary**: int] preceded by a tag **Pers**.

The type of the entire table DepartmentsPersons is a set of a tagged union type:

DepartmentsPersons: {<**Dept**: [**Name** : string, **ID** : string, **Phone** : int, **Floor** : int] |
Pers: [**SSN** : int, **Name**: string, **Salary**: int]>} .

15

The following selection operator selects rows with all departments above the 3rd floor:

$\sigma_{\langle \text{Dept}/(\text{Floor} > 3) \rangle}(\text{DepartmentsPersons})$

- 20 The "**Dept**" tag in the condition (*i.e.*, "**Dept**/(**Floor**>3)") indicates to select rows with a **Dept** tag. The "**Floor**>3" indicates to select rows that have **Floor**>3. All rows that do not have the **Dept** tag are selected intact. Thus, the type of the result is:

{<Dept: [Name : string, ID : string, Phone : int, Floor : int] |
Pers: [SSN : int, Name: string, Salary: int]>}

The result is the highlighted rows as shown below:

Dept:	<i>Name</i>	<i>ID</i>	<i>Phone</i>	<i>Floor</i>
	Payroll	P	2345	3
Dept:	<i>Name</i>	<i>ID</i>	<i>Phone</i>	<i>Floor</i>
	Payroll-Temps	P2	2244	1
Pers:	<i>SSN</i>	<i>Name</i>	<i>Salary</i>	
	123456789	Smith	44444	
Pers:	<i>SSN</i>	<i>Name</i>	<i>Salary</i>	
	234567890	John	55555	
Dept:	<i>Name</i>	<i>ID</i>	<i>Phone</i>	<i>Floor</i>
	Payroll-NJ	P3	2345	4
Dept:	<i>Name</i>	<i>ID</i>	<i>Phone</i>	<i>Floor</i>
	Engineering	E	7654	6
Pers:	<i>SSN</i>	<i>Name</i>	<i>Salary</i>	
	111111111	Sue	66666	
Dept:	<i>Name</i>	<i>ID</i>	<i>Phone</i>	<i>Floor</i>
	ReEngineering	E2	2244	3
Dept:	<i>Name</i>	<i>ID</i>	<i>Phone</i>	<i>Floor</i>
	Marketing-US	MU	1818	4
Dept:	<i>Name</i>	<i>ID</i>	<i>Phone</i>	<i>Floor</i>
	Marketing-Europe	ME	9876	2

5

The following selection operation selects departments above the 3rd floor AND people earning more than 50000:

$\sigma_{<Dept/(Floor>3) \mid Pers/(Salary>50000)} (DepartmentsPersons)$

10

The condition applies to both **Dept** rows and **Pers** rows. For **Dept** rows, the condition specifies **Floor**>3; for **Pers** rows, the condition specifies **Salary**>50000. The result consists of the highlighted rows below:

DepartmentsPersons			
Dept:	Name	ID	Phone
	Payroll	P	2345
Dept:	Name	ID	Phone
	Payroll-Temps	P2	2244
Pers:	SSN	Name	Salary
	123456789	Smith	44444
Pers:	SSN	Name	Salary
	234567890	John	55555
Dept:	Name	ID	Phone
	Payroll-NJ	P3	2345
Dept:	Name	ID	Phone
	Engineering	E	7654
Pers:	SSN	Name	Salary
	111111111	Sue	66666
Dept:	Name	ID	Phone
	ReEngineering	E2	2244
Dept:	Name	ID	Phone
	Marketing-US	MU	1818
Dept:	Name	ID	Phone
	Marketing-Europe	ME	9876

The same result can be achieved by applying the two selections in sequence, that is:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sigma_{\langle \text{Dept}/(\text{Floor}>3) \mid \text{Pers}/(\text{Salary}>50000) \rangle} (\text{DepartmentsPersons}) = \\
 & = \sigma_{\langle \text{Dept}/(\text{Floor}>3) \rangle} (\sigma_{\langle \text{Pers}/(\text{Salary}>50000) \rangle} (\text{DepartmentsPersons})) \\
 & = \sigma_{\langle \text{Pers}/(\text{Salary}>50000) \rangle} (\sigma_{\langle \text{Dept}/(\text{Floor}>3) \rangle} (\text{DepartmentsPersons}))
 \end{aligned}$$

The following projection operation projects out the **Name** and **Phone** fields for the **Dept** rows and the **Name** field for the **Pers** rows:

$$\Pi_{\langle \text{Dept}[\text{Name}, \text{Phone}] \mid \text{Pers}[\text{Name}] \rangle} (\sigma_{\langle \text{Dept}/(\text{Floor}>3) \mid \text{Pers}/(\text{Salary}>50000) \rangle} (\text{DepartmentsPersons}))$$

The type of the result is:

{<Dept: [Name : string, Phone : int] | Pers: [Name: string]>}

The results consist of the highlighted fields below:

DepartmentsPersons

Dept:	Name	ID	Phone	Floor
	Payroll	P	2345	3
Dept:	Name	ID	Phone	Floor
	Payroll-Temps	P2	2244	1
Pers:	SSN	Name	Salary	
	123456789	Smith	44444	
Pers:	SSN	Name	Salary	
	234567890	John	55555	
Dept:	Name	ID	Phone	Floor
	Payroll-NJ	P3	2345	4
Dept:	Name	ID	Phone	Floor
	Engineering	E	7654	6
Pers:	SSN	Name	Salary	
	111111111	Sue	66666	
Dept:	Name	ID	Phone	Floor
	ReEngineering	E2	2244	3
Dept:	Name	ID	Phone	Floor
	Marketing-US	MU	1818	4
Dept:	Name	ID	Phone	Floor
	Marketing-Europe	ME	9876	2

If only a subset of the tags are mentioned in the projection operator, then all rows tagged with the other tags are left unchanged in the result. Thus, the expression above is equivalent to:

5

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \Pi_{\langle \text{Dept} [\text{Name}, \text{Phone}] \mid \text{Pers} [\text{Name}] \rangle} (\sigma_{\langle \text{Dept}/(\text{Floor} > 3) \mid \text{Pers}/(\text{Salary} > 50000) \rangle} (\text{DepartmentsPersons})) \\
 &= \Pi_{\langle \text{Dept} : [\text{Name}, \text{Phone}] \rangle} (\Pi_{\langle \text{Pers} : [\text{Name}] \rangle} (\sigma_{\langle \text{Dept}/(\text{Floor} > 3) \rangle} (\sigma_{\langle \text{Pers}/(\text{Salary} > 50000) \rangle} (\text{DepartmentsPersons})))) \\
 &= \Pi_{\langle \text{Dept} : [\text{Name}, \text{Phone}] \rangle} (\sigma_{\langle \text{Dept}/(\text{Floor} > 3) \rangle} (\Pi_{\langle \text{Pers} : [\text{Name}] \rangle} (\sigma_{\langle \text{Pers}/(\text{Salary} > 50000) \rangle} (\text{DepartmentsPersons}))))
 \end{aligned}$$

10

1.3 Nested Conditional Relations

The following table illustrates NCRs. The **Pers** rows have an **Assignments** field that is a non-atomic field. The field contains a nested condition relation in that its sub-rows can be of type **Project** or **Committee**.

DepartmentsPersons

Dept:	<i>Name</i>	<i>ID</i>	<i>Phone</i>	<i>Floor</i>
	Payroll	P	2345	3
Dept:	<i>Name</i>	<i>ID</i>	<i>Phone</i>	<i>Floor</i>
	Payroll-Temps	P2	2244	1
Pers:	<i>SSN</i>	<i>Name</i>	<i>Assignments</i>	
	123456789	Smith	Project:	<i>Name</i> <i>Lang</i>
				Compiler C++
			Project:	<i>Name</i> <i>Lang</i>
				Optimizer C++
			Committee:	<i>Name</i>
				Awards
			Project:	<i>Name</i> <i>Lang</i>
				Wrapper Java
Pers:	<i>SSN</i>	<i>Name</i>	<i>Assignments</i>	
	234567890	John	Project:	<i>Name</i> <i>Lang</i>
				Compiler C++
			Project:	<i>Name</i> <i>Lang</i>
				Wrapper C++
			Committee:	<i>Name</i>
				Awards
			Committee:	<i>Name</i>
				Promotion
			Committee:	<i>Name</i>
				Disciplinary
Dept:	<i>Name</i>	<i>ID</i>	<i>Phone</i>	<i>Floor</i>
	Payroll-NJ	P3	2345	4
Dept:	<i>Name</i>	<i>ID</i>	<i>Phone</i>	<i>Floor</i>
	Engineering	E	7654	6
Pers:	<i>SSN</i>	<i>Name</i>	<i>Assignments</i>	
	11111111	Sue	Project:	<i>Name</i> <i>Lang</i>
				Compiler Java
			Project:	<i>Name</i> <i>Lang</i>
				Optimizer C++
			Committee:	<i>Name</i>
				Promotions
			Project:	<i>Name</i> <i>Lang</i>
				Wrapper Java
Dept:	<i>Name</i>	<i>ID</i>	<i>Phone</i>	<i>Floor</i>
	ReEngineering	E2	2244	3
Dept:	<i>Name</i>	<i>ID</i>	<i>Phone</i>	<i>Floor</i>
	Marketing-US	MU	1818	4
Dept:	<i>Name</i>	<i>ID</i>	<i>Phone</i>	<i>Floor</i>
	Marketing-Europe	ME	9876	2

The type of this table is:

```
{<Dept: [Name : string, ID : string, Phone : int, Floor : int] |
  Pers: [SSN : int, Name: string,
    Assignments: {<Project:[Name:string, Lang:string] |
      Committee: [Name: string]>}}]>}
```

5

Rows tagged with **Dept** are flat records. The rows tagged with **Pers** have an **Assignments** field with its value as another NCR, with its rows tagged either with **Project** or with **Committee**. In this example, there is only one level of nesting, in general, however, there may be arbitrary levels.

- 10 The following projection operation select the **Name** and **Phone** fields of the **Dept** rows and the **Name** field of the **Pers** rows.

$$\Pi_{\langle \text{Dept} [\text{Name}, \text{Phone}], \text{Pers} [\text{Name}] \rangle} (\text{DepartmentsPersons})$$

The result is a flat relation of type:

```
{<Dept: [Name : string, Phone : int] | Pers: [Name: string]>}
```

- 15 Projections and selections can be combined and applied to the inner relations. This is done with combined operator, called **Combo**, that does both the selection and the projection. A combo operator takes an argument p , called a *p-former*, that describes what selections and projections are to be done. The *p-former* generalizes both the argument in a selection, σ_p , and that in a projection Π_p . The following is an example of a *p-former*:

20 $p = \langle \text{Dept}/(\text{Floor} > 3):[\text{Name}, \text{Floor}] |$
 $\text{Pers}/(\text{Name like "S\%"}):$
 $[\text{Name}, \text{Assignments}: \{ \langle \text{Project}/(\text{Lang} = \text{"C++"}):[\text{Name}] |$
 $\text{Committee}/(\text{Name} = \text{"Promotions"})[\text{Name}] > \}] >$

- 25 Then the combo operator is written as:

$$\Sigma_p(\text{DepartmentsPersons})$$

- This combo operator applies the selection condition (**Floor** > 3) and projects on the **Name** and **Floor** fields for the **Dept** rows. The combo operator also selects on the condition (**Name** like "S%") then projects on **Name** and **Assignments** fields on the **Pers** row. Furthermore, this combo operator processes **Assignments** recursively as follows. This combo operator applies the selection condition (**Lang** = "C++") and projects on the **Name** on **Project** rows and selects on (**Name** = "Promotions") and projects on the **Name** field on **Committee** rows. The type of the result of this combo operator is:

35 $\{ \langle \text{Dept}: [\text{Name} : \text{string}, \text{Floor} : \text{int}] |$
 $\text{Pers}: [\text{Name}: \text{string}, \text{Assignments}: \{ \langle \text{Project}: [\text{Name}: \text{string}] |$
 $\text{Committee}: [\text{Name}: \text{string}] > \}] > \}$

The results of this combo operator is the highlighted fields below:

DepartmentsPersons

Dept:	Name	ID	Phone	Floor
	Payroll	P	2345	3
Dept:	Name	ID	Phone	Floor
	Payroll-Temps	P2	2244	1
Pers:	SSN	Name	Assignments	
	123456789	Smith	Project:	Name
				Lang
			Project:	Compiler
				C++
			Project:	Name
				Lang
			Optimizer	C++
			Committee:	Name
				Awards
			Project:	Name
				Lang
			Wrapper	Java
Pers:	SSN	Name	Assignments	
	234567890	John	Project:	Name
				Lang
			Project:	Compiler
				C++
			Project:	Name
				Lang
			Wrapper	C++
			Committee:	Name
				Awards
			Committee:	Name
				Promotion
			Committee:	Name
				Disciplinary
Dept:	Name	ID	Phone	Floor
	Payroll-NJ	P3	2345	4
Dept:	Name	ID	Phone	Floor
	Engineering	E	7654	6
Pers:	SSN	Name	Assignments	
	111111111	Sue	Project:	Name
				Lang
			Project:	Compiler
				Java
			Project:	Name
				Lang
			Optimizer	C++
			Committee:	Name
				Promotions
			Project:	Name
				Lang
			Wrapper	Java
Dept:	Name	ID	Phone	Floor
	ReEngineering	E2	2244	3
Dept:	Name	ID	Phone	Floor
	Marketing-US	MU	1818	4
Dept:	Name	ID	Phone	Floor
	Marketing-Europe	ME	9876	2

The Combo operator selects a submatrix via a combination of selections (on tags and predicates) and projections (on fields).

2 Operands and Typing

5 An NCR is *strongly typed* which will allow type inference and type checking. The basic manipulable types are tables and single-valued attributes. The basic types are primitives or sets which are defined as:

Base or primitive types:

$b ::= \text{integer} \mid \text{long integer} \mid \text{string} \mid \text{float} \mid \text{decimal}[\text{precision.accuracy}] \mid \dots$

Manipulable types:

10 $t ::= b$ *Member of base type*
 $t ::= \{u\}$ *Set (table) of tuples from "union type"*

Record (i.e., row), variant, and union types are defined as:

Record type:

15 $r ::= [a_1: t_1, a_2: t_2, \dots, a_n: t_n]$
 where a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n are distinct labels called *attributes*, or *fields*, or just *labels*.

Variant type:

$v ::= \text{tag}.r$
 where tag is a label, called the *tag of the variant type*.

20 *Union type:*

$u ::= \langle v_1 \mid v_2 \mid \dots \mid v_n \rangle$
 where v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n are variant types having distinct tags.

25 In the following example, a table is defined that includes both **People(name:String, ssn:int)** rows and **Employees(name:String, ssn:int, salary: float)** rows. The record types for **People** and **Employees** are defined as follows:

$r_{\text{people}} ::= [\text{name: String, ssn:int}]$
 $r_{\text{employees}} ::= [\text{name: String, ssn:int, salary:float}]$

30 The variants are defined as:

$v_{\text{people}} ::= \text{People:} [\text{name:String, ssn:int}]$
 $v_{\text{employees}} ::= \text{Employees:} [\text{name:String, ssn:int, salary:float}]$

and the union type is:

35 $u_{\text{peopleEmployee}} ::= \langle \text{People:} [\text{name:String, ssn:int}] \mid \text{Employees:} [\text{name:String, ssn:int, salary:float}] \rangle$

The NCR type describing a table consisting of both people and employees is:

$t_{\text{people|employees}} ::= \{ \langle \text{People: [name:String, ssn:int]} \mid \text{Employees: [name:String, ssn:int, salary:float]} \rangle \}$

Operators

5 A formal algebra using these types is defined using the following general principles.

- Each operator should support tables with heterogeneous rows (*i.e.*, a table of union types).
- A different action can be specified for an operator for each unique row type.
- Operators focus on the common case and a generalized map operator handles complex cases.

2.1 Project

15 The Project operator returns a different subset of the attributes from each variant type. The project operator, denoted Π_p , is parameterized by a list, p , of elements of the form $\text{tag}:[\text{set of attributes}]$. "P" is called a *projection p-former*. It determines both the type of the project operator and its semantics (which columns are being projected out).

Defn. projection p-former:

20 Given a union type with $u = \langle \text{tag}_1: t_1 \mid \dots \mid \text{tag}_m: t_m \rangle$, where $t_1 = [a_{11}: t_{11}, a_{12}: t_{12}, \dots, a_{1n_1}: t_{1n_1}]$, ..., $t_m = [a_{m1}: t_{m1}, a_{m2}: t_{m2}, \dots, a_{mn_m}: t_{mn_m}]$, a projection p-former with input u is an expression:

$$p = \langle \text{tag}_{i_1} [a_{1i_1}, a_{1i_2}, \dots, a_{1i_{p_1}}], \text{tag}_{i_2} [a_{2i_2}, a_{2i_2}, \dots, a_{2i_{p_2}}], \dots, \text{tag}_{i_q} [a_{qi_1}, a_{qi_2}, \dots, a_{qi_{p_q}}] \rangle$$

where the indexes satisfy:

$$1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_q \leq m,$$

$$1 \leq i_{11} < i_{12} < \dots < i_{1p_1} \leq n_{t_1}$$

...

$$1 \leq i_{q1} < i_{q2} < \dots < i_{qp_q} \leq n_{t_q}$$

The "type" of the projection p-former is:

$$p :: u \rightarrow u'$$

where u' is defined as follows. Let $t'_j, j=1, \dots, m$ be:

$$t'_{i_1} = [a_{1i_1}: t_{1i_1}, a_{1i_2}: t_{1i_2}, \dots, a_{1i_{p_1}}: t_{1i_{p_1}}]$$

...

$$t'_{i_q} = [a_{qi_1}: t_{qi_1}, a_{qi_2}: t_{qi_2}, \dots, a_{qi_{p_q}}: t_{qi_{p_q}}]$$

$$t'_j = t_j, \text{ when } j \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_q\}$$

The output type is $u' = \langle \text{tag}_1: t'_1 \mid \dots \mid \text{tag}_m: t'_m \rangle$.

Defn. Project Operator:

- 5 Let p, u, u' be as above, and let $t = \{u\}, t' = \{u'\}$. The projection operator is:
 $\Pi_p(t) = t'$

- 10 The project operator only affects tuples with tags that are mentioned in p . Tuples with other tags are simply copied to the output, unaffected. The following table shows the results of applying a project operator. The input table is an **EmpsDeptsSites** NCR with **Emp**, **Dept**, and **Site** tags.

Emp:	<i>name</i>	<i>ssn</i>	<i>sal</i>	<i>phone</i>
	Bob	123-45-6789	83000	123-4567
Dept:	<i>name</i>	<i>loc</i>	<i>mgr</i>	
	Payroll	HQ	Bob	
Emp:	<i>name</i>	<i>ssn</i>	<i>sal</i>	<i>phone</i>
	Marilyn	321-54-9876	78000	487-0128
Site:	<i>name</i>	<i>city</i>		
	HQ	San Jose		
Emp:	<i>name</i>	<i>ssn</i>	<i>sal</i>	<i>phone</i>
	Qing	673-82-3845	39000	674-3834
Dept:	<i>name</i>	<i>loc</i>	<i>mgr</i>	
	Quality Ctrl	HQ	Marilyn	
Emp:	<i>name</i>	<i>ssn</i>	<i>sal</i>	<i>phone</i>
	Betsy	233-23-6352	75000	234-3473
Emp:	<i>name</i>	<i>ssn</i>	<i>sal</i>	<i>phone</i>
	Brian	341-69-0323	33000	236-5325
Dept:	<i>name</i>	<i>loc</i>	<i>mgr</i>	
	Sales	HQ	Betsy	
Emp:	<i>name</i>	<i>ssn</i>	<i>sal</i>	<i>phone</i>
	Sam	356-02-6743	43000	672-7832

The following projection operator is applied to the **EmpsDeptsSites** table:

- 15 $\Pi_{\langle \text{Emp: [name]} \mid \text{Dept: [name, mgr]} \mid \text{Site: [name, city]} \rangle}(\text{EmpsDeptsSites})$

The result is the following table:

Emp:	name	
	Bob	
Dept:	name	mgr
	Payroll	Bob
Emp:	name	
	Marilyn	
Site:	name	city
	HQ	San Jose
Emp:	name	
	Qing	
Dept:	name	mgr
	Quality Ctrl	Marilyn
Emp:	name	
	Betsy	
Emp:	name	
	Brian	
Dept:	name	mgr
	Sales	Betsy
Emp:	name	
	Sam	

The project operator is equivalent to $\Pi_{\langle \text{Emp:}[name] \mid \text{Dept:}[name, mgr] \rangle}(\text{EmpsDeptsSites})$. The Site records are included in the result by default.

5 2.2 Select

The Select operator returns a different subset of records for each variant type. The select operator, denoted σ_p , is parameterized by a list, p , of elements of the form tag/condition. "P" is called a *selection p-former*. It determines both the type of the select operator and its semantics. In the following, conditions and expressions are defined.

10 Defn. Expressions:

Given k record types r_1, \dots, r_k , and a type t , an expression e of type t with arguments r_1, \dots, r_k , in notation:

$$e : r_1 \times \dots \times r_k \rightarrow t$$

is defined inductively below. Expressions occur in a *context*, which is defined to be a sequence of record types, $(r_1', r_2', \dots, r_n')$; unless specified, the context is empty, $n=0$.

1. **Attribute:** if $r_i = [\dots, a : t, \dots]$, then $\$i.a$ is an expression of type t .

2. **Context:** if $r_j = [\dots, a : t, \dots]$, then $\$[j].a$ is an expression of type t .

3. **Scalar operator:** if e_1, e_2 are two expressions of base types b_1, b_2 respectively, then $e_1 \text{ op } e_2$ is an expression of type b , where op is an operator:

$$\text{op} : b_1 \times b_2 \rightarrow b$$

op is one of $(+, -, *, /)$, or a string operator (concat, substr), or any user-defined function on scalar values.

- 5

Contexts are not used in selections, but are used later in the combo operator.

10

$$c : r_1 \times \dots \times r_k \rightarrow \text{bool}$$

15

- 20

25

30

where $i_1, \dots, i_k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, and c_j is a condition, $c_j : r_{i_j} \rightarrow \text{bool}$, for $j=1, \dots, k$.

$$\sigma_p(\{t\}) = \{t\}$$

The selection condition(s) only apply to tuples with tags mentioned in p. Tuples with other tags are simply copied to the output, unaffected.

When the following select operator is applied to the **EmpsDeptsSites** table described above

5 $\sigma_{\langle \text{Emp} / (\text{sal} > 50000) \mid \text{Dept} / (\text{mgr} = \text{"Betsy"}) \mid \text{Site} / (\text{true}) \rangle} (\text{EmpsDeptsSites})$

the result is:

Emp:	Name	ssn	sal	Phone
	Bob	123-45-6789	83000	123-4567
Emp:	Name	ssn	sal	Phone
	Marilyn	321-54-9876	78000	487-0128
Site:	Name	city		
	HQ	San Jose		
Emp:	Name	ssn	sal	Phone
	Betsy	233-23-6352	75000	234-3473
Dept:	Name	loc	mgr	
	Sales	HQ	Betsy	

The same operator can be expressed as:

10 $\sigma_{\langle \text{Emp} / (\text{sal} > 50000) \mid \text{Dept} / (\text{mgr} = \text{"Betsy"}) \rangle} (\text{EmpsDeptsSites})$

The select operator operates only on the top level, in that it decides for each top level record whether to keep it or toss it. However, the condition c can look deep inside the current record (e.g., by using existential/universal quantifiers).

2.3 Rename

15 The rename operator (ρ) renames tags and/or attributes. It is a generalization of the rename operator in the relational algebra:

Defn. Renaming p-former:

A renaming p-former is an expression:

20 $p = \langle \text{tag}_1 \rightarrow \text{tag}'_1 : [a_{11} \rightarrow a'_{11}, a_{12} \rightarrow a'_{12}, \dots] \mid \text{tag}_2 \rightarrow \text{tag}'_2 : [a_{21} \rightarrow a'_{21}, a_{22} \rightarrow a'_{22}, \dots] \mid \dots \rangle$

Defn. Renaming operator:

Given a renaming p-former p, the renaming operator is:

$$\rho_p(\{t\}) = \{t'\}.$$

25 This operator renames tag_1 to tag'_1 , and renames the fields in the record of type tag_1 by changing a_{11} to a'_{11} , ..., renames tag_2 to tag'_2 , and so on. All tags and/or labels that are not mentioned are left unchanged. The output type is "isomorphic" to the input type:

only the variant and record labels at the top level have changed. The "identity" mapping $a_i \rightarrow a_i$ can be abbreviated to a_i in specifying a renaming.

2.4 Extend

- 5 The extend operator (ϵ) adds new fields to a record, each computed by some expression from other fields.

Defn. Extension p-former:

Given union types $u = \langle \text{tag}_1:r_1, \dots, \text{tag}_n:r_n \rangle$ and $u' = \langle \text{tag}_1:r'_1, \dots, \text{tag}_n:r'_n \rangle$, an extension p-former of type $u \rightarrow u'$ is an expression:

$$10 \quad p = \langle \text{tag}_1:[c_{11}:e_{11}, c_{12}:e_{12}, \dots] \mid \dots \mid \text{tag}_n:[c_{n1}:e_{n1}, c_{n2}:e_{n2}, \dots] \rangle$$

where the expressions e_{ij} , ... have types $e_{ij} : r_i \rightarrow t_{ij}'$, and r_i' is a record type obtained by adding the fields $[c_{i1}:t_{i1}', c_{i2}:t_{i2}', \dots]$ to r_i .

Defn. Extension operator:

Given an extension p-former p , the extension operator is:

$$15 \quad \epsilon_p(\{t\}) = \{t'\}.$$

The meaning is that new labels c_{11}, c_{12}, \dots are added to the corresponding records, and their values are computed by the expressions e_{11}, e_{12}, \dots . The values of all the other labels are copied into the output unchanged. As for the other operators, not all tags need to be mentioned: the missing ones are copied to the output.

2.5 Combo

- 25 The Combo operator (Σ) combines Project, Select, Rename, and Extend, and does this to arbitrary nesting levels. The Combo operator is parameterized by an argument that is a deeply structured expression combining arguments of Project (Π), Select (σ), Rename (ρ), and Extend (ϵ). Such an expression is called a **p-former**. Unlike the other operators, combo does not implicitly copy "the other" tags and labels to the output, but deletes them. This allows both copying and deleting. (For convenience, another version of the combo operator may also be defined that copies by default.)

- 30 The rules below define a p-former inductively. A p-former, p , has an input type t and an output type t' , and the p-former is denoted by:

$$p :: t \rightarrow t'$$

The p-former takes an input value of type t and returns either an output value of type t' or "nothing." When used in a Combo operator, Σ_p , the type of the Combo operation is $\{t\} \rightarrow$

- 35 $\{t'\}$. Consider a selection in the relational algebra, $\sigma_{\text{age} < 20}$, ($\text{age} < 20$) is a p-former: it takes a record and returns either the same record (if $\text{age} < 20$) or nothing (otherwise).

For each p-former, there is a *context* (which, recall, is a sequence of record types, (r_1, \dots, r_n)). Inner p-formers have a context consisting of all record types of the surrounding records. The top-level p-former has an empty context.

1. The "identity p-former":

5 $_ :: t \rightarrow t$ for every type t
 returns its input, unchanged

- ## 2. The "record p-former":

10 if $p_1 :: t_{i_1} \rightarrow t'_{i_1}, \dots, p_k :: t_{i_k} \rightarrow t'_{i_k}$, are p-formers, $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $e_1: [a_1:t_1, \dots, a_n:t_n] \rightarrow t_1'', \dots, e_p: [a_1:t_1, \dots, a_n:t_n] \rightarrow t_p''$ are expressions, then $[(a_{i_1} \rightarrow b_1) : p_1, \dots, (a_{i_k} \rightarrow b_k) : p_k, c_1 : e_1, \dots, c_p : e_p] :: r \rightarrow r'$, where $r = [a_1:t_1, \dots, a_n:t_n]$ and $r' = [b_1:t'_1, \dots, b_k:t'_k, c_1:t_1'', \dots, c_p:t_p'']$.

15 The $(a \rightarrow b) : p$ components rename an attribute a to b , and apply recursively the p -former p on the value of that attribute. The $c:e$ components introduce a new attribute (c) whose value is computed by the expression e over r . If the context of p is (r_1, \dots, r_n) , then the context for each of p_1, \dots, p_k is (r_1, \dots, r_n, r) . The following condition applies to p -formers in Combo operators:

20 (*) a_1, \dots, a_k are distinct and e_1, \dots, e_p are scalar expressions

(The b's and c's are also distinct, which follows implicitly from their use in the type $[b_1:t_1', \dots, b_k:t_k', c_1:t_1'', \dots, c_p:t_p'']$). The restriction ensures that every complex value is copied to the output at most once, and new values being produced are scalar: this enables simple, pipeline computation.

Given an input value $[a_1:v_1, \dots, a_n:v_n]$, the p -formers p_1, \dots, p_k first apply to the values v_{i1}, \dots, v_{ik} respectively. If any of them returns "nothing," then the record p -former returns "nothing." Otherwise, let v'_1, \dots, v'_k be the values returned by the p -formers, and let w_1, \dots, w_p be the values returned by the expressions e_1, \dots, e_p ; the record p -former returns the record $[b_1:v'_1, \dots, b_k:v'_k, c_1:w_1, \dots, c_p:w_p]$.

3. The "variant p-former" is parameterized by a selection condition, c:

```

35   if c : r → bool and p :: r → r'
      then (tag/c → tag') : p :: tag : r → tag' : r'

```

The condition c is checked first. If it returns false, then the p-former returns "nothing." Otherwise, it returns whatever p returns, but changes the tag to tag'. The c may use existential/universal quantifiers on the set fields of r .

4. The "union" p-former is:

if $p_1 :: v_{i1} \rightarrow v_1', \dots, p_k :: v_{ik} \rightarrow v_k'$ and $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$
 then $\langle p_1 \mid \dots \mid p_k \rangle :: \langle v_1 \mid \dots \mid v_n \rangle \rightarrow \langle v_1' \mid \dots \mid v_k' \rangle$

$$\text{if } p :: u \rightarrow u' \\ \text{then } \{p\} :: \{u\} \rightarrow \{u'\}$$

Given a set $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, the set p-former first applies the p-former p to each element in the set. Let y_1, \dots, y_k be all values returned by p (*i.e.*, excluding "nothing"), then the set p-former returns $\{y_1, \dots, y_k\}$

Defn. Combo operator: if p is a p-former, $p :: t \rightarrow t'$, then:

$$\Sigma_p(\{t\}) = (\{t'\})$$

Given a set $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, the operator first applies the p-former p to each element in the set. Let y_1, \dots, y_k be all values returned by p (*i.e.*, excluding "nothing").

Then Σ_p returns $\{y_1, \dots, y_k\}$

The following p-former is defined for the **EmpsDeptsSites** table:

p = <Emp/(sal>50000) → HighEarner:[name→name:] |
Dept/(mgr= "Betsy") → BetsyManages:[name→name:] |
Site/(true) → Site:[name→name: ,city→city:] >

The following combo operation is applied to the table:

 Σ_p (EmpsDeptsSites)

If $(a \rightarrow a)$ is abbreviated with a , $p_:$ with p , and $\text{tag}/(\text{true})$ with tag , then combo operator can then be abbreviated as:

$$\Sigma_{\langle \text{Emp}/(\text{sal} > 50000) \rangle} \rightarrow \text{HighEARNER}:[\text{name}] \mid \text{Dept}/(\text{mgr} = \text{"Betsy"}) \rightarrow \text{BetsyManages}:[\text{name}] \mid \text{Site}:[\text{name}, \text{city}] > (\text{EmpsDeptsSites})$$

The results of this combo operator is:

HighEarner:	name	
	Bob	
HighEarner:	name	
	Marilyn	
Site:	name	City
	HQ	San Jose
HighEarner:	name	
	Betsy	
BetsyManages:	name	
	Sales	

This example illustrates the use of contexts. The following relation (base types omitted) is used.

Pers:{<a:[name, birthday, projects:{<b:[title, deadline, modules:{<c:[id,date]}}>>}>>}

5 Each person has a set of projects, each project has a set of modules.

- a. The combo $\Sigma_{p_2}(\mathbf{Pers})$ returns all persons that work on a project whose deadline is on their birthday. Its definition needs two p-formers:

p1 = <b/(\$1.deadline=\$[1].birthday):_>

p2 = <a/(Exists($\Sigma_{p_1}(\$1.projects)$)):_>

10

The context expression $\$[1].birthday$ in p1, which retrieves the birthday from one level higher up, is used in the "context" of p2, which defines the outer context to be a record of type [name, birthday, project].

- b. The combo $\Sigma_{p_3}(\mathbf{Pers})$ deletes from every person all projects whose deadline is on the person's birthday:

15

p3 = <a:[name:_, birthday:_, projects:{<b/(\$1.deadline != \$[1].birthday):_>}]>

- c. This illustrates the use of a $\$[2]$ context. The combo $\Sigma_{p_4}(\mathbf{Pers})$ operates as before, but in addition it deletes all modules whose dates are on the person's birthday:

20

p4 = <a:[name:_,
birthday:_,
projects:{<b/(\$1.deadline != \$[1].birthday):
[title:_,
deadline:_,
modules:{<c/(\$1.date != \$[2].birthday):_ >}}

25

]>

1. A Projection operator is a particular case of the Combo operator. For example $\Pi_{\langle \mathbf{Emp}:[name] \mid \mathbf{Dept}:[name,mgr] \mid \mathbf{Site}:[name,city] \rangle}(\mathbf{EmpsDeptsSites})$ is the same as $\Sigma_{\langle \mathbf{Emp}:[name] \mid \mathbf{Dept}:[name,mgr] \mid \mathbf{Site}:[name,city] \rangle}(\mathbf{EmpsDeptsSites})$
2. A Selection operator is a particular case of the Combo operator. For example $\sigma_{\langle \mathbf{Emp} / (sal > 50000) \mid \mathbf{Dept} / (mgr = "Betsy") \mid \mathbf{Site} / (true) \rangle}(\mathbf{EmpsDeptsSites})$ is the same as $\Sigma_{\langle \mathbf{Emp} / (sal > 50000) \mid \mathbf{Dept} / (mgr = "Betsy") \mid \mathbf{Site} / (true) \rangle}(\mathbf{EmpsDeptsSites})$

30

- Site→Site:[name,city]>**

is the same as

10

5. Combo can be used to homogenize a collection. For example, in **EmpsDeptsSites** there are three different kinds of records, and all share a **name** attribute. The following Combo operator extracts all names and constructs a homogeneous collection:

which is a homogeneous collection.

- $$\Sigma_{\langle \text{Emp}/(\text{sal} < 100\text{k}) \rightarrow \text{Regular} \mid \text{Emp}/(\text{sal} \geq 100\text{k}) \rightarrow \text{HighPaid} : [\text{name}, \text{phone}] \rangle} (\text{Emps})$$

$$\{ \langle \text{Regular:} [\text{name}] \mid \text{HighPaid:} [\text{name, phone}] \rangle \}$$

30

35

40

A simple p-former is a p-former that includes only a single selection (*i.e.*, for a single tag), a single projection, renaming of a single tag or label, or an extension with a single new label. Formally, it is defined like a p-former with additional syntactic restrictions

that ensure that only one action is performed (only a projection, a selection, a renaming, or an extension). The other parts of the simple p-former, that do the copying, are omitted. The simple p-former is illustrated by the following examples:

- 5
- $\langle \text{Emp}/(\text{sal} \geq 50000) \rangle$ this simple p-former is a selection and is equivalent to $\langle \text{Emp}/(\text{sal} \geq 50000):_ | \text{Dept}:_ | \text{Other}:_ \rangle$, *i.e.*, copy the other tags unchanged. Thus, to get the equivalent "real" p-former, the omitted tags **Dept** and **Other** need to be added.
 - 10 • $\langle \text{Dept}:[\text{name}, \text{projects}] \rangle$ this simple p-former is a projection and is equivalent to $\langle \text{Emp}:_ | \text{Dept}:[\text{name}:_ , \text{projects}:_], \text{Other}:_ \rangle$.
 - $\langle \text{Dept}:[\text{projects}:\{\langle \text{urgent}/(\text{deadline} \geq "10/10/2010") \rangle\}] \rangle$ this is a selection on the inner relation **projects**, and is equivalent to $\langle \text{Emp}:_ | \text{Dept}:[\text{name}:_ , \text{floor}:_ , \text{projects}:\{\langle \text{normal}:_ |$
 - 15 $\text{urgent}/(\text{deadline} \geq "10/10/2010"):_ \rangle\}] | \text{Other}:_ \rangle$.
 - Omitted tags (**Emp**, **normal**) are added *and* omitted labels (**name**, **floor**) are added too.
 - $\langle \text{Dept}[\text{projects}:\{\langle \text{urgent}:[\text{name}, \text{team}] \rangle\}] \rangle$ this is a projection at a deeper level. Tags and labels are added, except where projection is done:
 - 20 $\langle \text{Emp}:_ | \text{Dept}:[\text{name}:_ , \text{floor}:_ , \text{projects}:\{\langle \text{normal}:[\text{name}:_ , \text{team}:_], \text{urgent}:_ \rangle\}] | \text{Other}:_ \rangle$

Defn. Simple combo:

Given a simple p-former p, the simple combo operator is:

25 $\Sigma_p^0(\{t\}) = \{t'\}.$

The superscript 0 indicates that the combo is "simple" (*i.e.*, all missing tags and labels in p have to be added). The semantics is defined as follows. Given a p-former, $p:t \rightarrow t'$, (simple or not) define the **completion** of p to be $c(p) : t \rightarrow t'$ obtained from p by "completing" the missing tags according to the type t (*i.e.*, $c(p)$ should actually be denoted $c(p,t)$; it can be defined inductively; omitted). The semantics of the simple

30 combo operator is:

$$\Sigma_p^0(x) = \Sigma_{c(p)}(x)$$

35

Example

Given NCR **EmpsDeptsSites** from above, the following are simple combos:

$\Sigma^0_{\langle \text{Emp}/(\text{sal} > 50000) \rangle}(\text{EmpsDeptsSites})$ is the same as:

$$\Sigma_{\langle \text{Emp}/(\text{sal} > 50000) \rangle:_ | \text{Dept}:_}(\text{EmpsDeptsSites})$$

40

$\Sigma^0_{\langle \text{Dept}[\text{mgr}] \rangle} (\text{EmpsDeptsSites})$ is the same as:

$$\Sigma_{\langle \text{Dept}[\text{mgr}] \mid \text{Emp_} \rangle} (\text{EmpsDeptsSites})$$

The simple combos are strictly less powerful than combos, because they do not allow deletion of tags from the output type. For example, consider the combo

- 5 $\Sigma_{\langle \text{Dept}[\text{mgr}] \rangle} (\text{EmpsDeptsSites})$. Its output type is $\{\langle \text{Dept}[\text{mgr}] \rangle\}$. It may be expressed as a sequence of simple combos:

$$\Sigma^0_{\langle \text{Emp}[\text{false_}] \rangle} (\Sigma^0_{\langle \text{Dept}[\text{mgr}] \rangle} (\text{EmpsDeptsSites}))$$

- 10 where the second simple combo is needed to eliminate all **Emp** records. But, the output type of this expression is $\{\langle \text{Dept}[\text{mgr}] \mid \text{Emp}[\text{name, ssn, sal, phone}] \rangle\}$. A type checker could be modified to recognize that some conditions are (equivalent to) **false** and eliminate the corresponding tag from the output type. If so, combo operators could be expressed as a sequence of simple combo operators.

15

2.7 Match

The match operator, Ω_m , generalizes the combo operator by relaxing some of its restrictions. The match operator is parameterized by an **m-former**, m , that is defined inductively like a p-former in Combo, with two generalizations:

- 20 1. In the record m-former:

$$[(a_{i_1} \rightarrow b_{i_1}) : p_{i_1}, \dots, (a_{i_k} \rightarrow b_{i_k}) : p_{i_k}, c_1 : e_1, \dots, c_p : e_p] :: [a_1:t_1, \dots, a_n:t_n] \rightarrow [b_1:t'_1, \dots, b_k:t'_k, c_1:t'_1, \dots, c_p:t'_p]$$

the labels a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_k} are not required to be distinct, and the expressions e_1, \dots, e_p are not restricted to be scalars. (That is the restriction (*) is dropped.) This allows data values to be copied.
Example: $\Omega_{\langle \text{Pers}[\text{Name} \rightarrow \text{Name1}, \text{Phone}, \text{Name} \rightarrow \text{Name2}] \rangle}$, copies the **Name** value calling it **Name1** and **Name2**. Such copying can be expensive, when the value being copied is a large sub-relation. This is unlike Combo where no copying is done.
- 25 2. There exists an "unnest" m-former, with no corresponding p-former:
if $m :: u \rightarrow u'$
then $\text{unnest}(m) :: \{u\} \rightarrow u'$
(This is different from the set p-former, $\{p\} : \{u\} \rightarrow \{u'\}$). Unnest flattens an inner relation. When two or more unnest m-formers are used in a record m-former, their result consists of a Cartesian product: again, this can be expensive.
- 30

35

Whenever an unnest m-former is used inside another m-former $m :: t \rightarrow t'$, the result type t' is not a legal type any more, but an "extended" type. t' can be converted back into a legal type using a mapping **norm**.

- 40 **Defn. Match operator:** if $m :: t \rightarrow t'$ is a m-former, where t is a type and t' an extended type. Then:

$$\Omega_m(\{t\}) = \{\mathbf{norm}(t')\}$$

Example:

$$\begin{aligned} 5 \quad \Omega_{\langle T: [A: \text{unnest}(_), B: \text{unnest}(_)] \rangle} (\{ \langle T: [A: \langle T_1: [a: t_{11}, b: t_{12}] \mid T_2: [c: t_{13}, d: t_{14}] \rangle, \\ B: \langle T_3: [e: t_{21}, f: t_{22}] \mid T_4: [g: t_{23}, h: t_{24}] \rangle \} \\ \rangle \}) = \\ \{ \langle T: [A: \langle T_1: [a: t_{11}, b: t_{12}] \mid T_2: [c: t_{13}, d: t_{14}] \rangle, \\ B: \langle T_3: [e: t_{21}, f: t_{22}] \mid T_4: [g: t_{23}, h: t_{24}] \rangle \rangle \} \end{aligned}$$

The only change in the output type is that some braces $\{\dots\}$ have been erased. The output type is technically illegal in the type system, because each record field needs to be either atomic or a set. It can be normalized by pulling out all variant types to the top level and flattening the records. The normalized type is defined to be the output type of Ω . We call **norm** the normalization operation. The following example illustrates the normalization operation:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{norm} \langle T: [A: \langle T_1: [a: t_{11}, b: t_{12}] \mid T_2: [c: t_{13}, d: t_{14}] \rangle, B: \langle T_3: [e: t_{21}, f: t_{22}] \mid T_4: [g: t_{13}, h: t_{14}] \rangle \rangle \\ &= \langle TT_1 T_3: [Aa: t_{11}, Ab: t_{12}, Be: t_{21}, Bf: t_{22}] \mid \\ & \quad TT_1 T_4: [Aa: t_{11}, Ab: t_{12}, Bg: t_{23}, Bh: t_{24}] \mid \\ & \quad TT_2 T_3: [Ac: t_{13}, Ad: t_{14}, Be: t_{21}, Bf: t_{22}] \mid \\ & \quad TT_2 T_4: [Ac: t_{13}, Ad: t_{14}, Bg: t_{23}, Bh: t_{24}] \rangle \end{aligned}$$

The **norm** operation constructs new tag names and new field names by concatenating existing names.

Definition of norm. The symbol " \otimes " is used to denote the following operation between record and/or union types:

$$[a_{11} : t_{11}, a_{12} : t_{12}, \dots, a_{1m} : t_{1m}] \otimes [a_{21} : t_{21}, a_{22} : t_{22}, \dots, a_{2n} : t_{2n}] =$$

$$r \otimes \langle \text{tag}_1 : r_1 \mid \text{tag}_2 : r_2 \mid \dots \mid \text{tag}_n : r_n \rangle = \langle \text{tag}_1 : r \otimes r_1 \mid \text{tag}_2 : r \otimes r_2 \mid \dots \mid \text{tag}_n : r \otimes r_n \rangle$$

$$\langle \text{tag}_1 : r_1 \mid \text{tag}_2 : r_2 \mid \dots \mid \text{tag}_n : r_n \rangle \otimes r = \langle \text{tag}_1 : r_1 \otimes r \mid \text{tag}_2 : r_2 \otimes r \mid \dots \mid \text{tag}_n : r_n \otimes r \rangle$$

$$\langle \text{tag}_{11} : r_{11} | \text{tag}_{12} : r_{12} | \dots | \text{tag}_{1m} : r_{1m} \rangle \otimes \langle \text{tag}_{21} : r_{21} | \text{tag}_{22} : r_{22} | \dots | \text{tag}_{2n} : r_{2n} \rangle = \\ \langle \text{tag}_{1i} \text{tag}_{2j} : r_{1i} \otimes r_{2j} | \quad i = 1, m, \quad j = 1, n \rangle$$

In the last line tag concatenation is used. The norm operation concatenates two record types, or, if the two types are unions of m and n record types respectively, then constructs a new union type with mn record types, by considering all pairs of concatenations.

The symbol " \oplus " is used to denote the union of two disjoint union types:

$$\langle \text{tag}_{11} : r_{11} \mid \text{tag}_{12} : r_{12} \mid \dots \mid \text{tag}_{1m} : r_{1m} \rangle \oplus \langle \text{tag}_{21} : r_{21} \mid \text{tag}_{22} : r_{22} \mid \dots \mid \text{tag}_{2n} : r_{2n} \rangle = \\ \langle \text{tag}_{11} : r_{11} \mid \dots \mid \text{tag}_{1m} : r_{1m} \mid \text{tag}_{21} : r_{21} \mid \dots \mid \text{tag}_{2n} : r_{2n} \rangle$$

Two functions **rlabel_a** and **ulabel_{tag}** are defined that add or concatenate a record label *a*, or a tag to a type:

rlabel_a(*t*) = [*a*:*t*] where *t* is an atomic type or a set type
rlabel_a([*a*₁:*t*₁, *a*₂:*t*₂, ..., *a*_{*n*}:*t*_{*n*}]) = [*aa*₁:*t*₁, *aa*₂:*t*₂, ..., *aa*_{*n*}:*t*_{*n*}]
rlabel_a($\langle \text{tag}_1 : r_1 \mid \text{tag}_2 : r_2 \mid \dots \mid \text{tag}_n : r_n \rangle$) =
 $\langle \text{tag}_1 : \mathbf{rlabel}_a(r_1) \mid \text{tag}_2 : \mathbf{rlabel}_a(r_2) \mid \dots \mid \text{tag}_n : \mathbf{rlabel}_a(r_n) \rangle$

ulabel_{tag}(*r*) = $\langle \text{tag} : r \rangle$ where *r* is a record type

$$\mathbf{ulabel}_{\text{tag}}(\langle \text{tag}_1 : r_1 \mid \text{tag}_2 : r_2 \mid \dots \mid \text{tag}_n : r_n \rangle) = \langle \text{tagtag}_1 : r_1 \mid \text{tagtag}_2 : r_2 \mid \dots \mid \text{tagtag}_n : r_n \rangle$$

For the definition of the function **norm**, the definition of types ("normal types") is extended to "extended types." These are precisely the types *t*' that can occur in *m*-formers, *m* :: *t* → *t*'.

t :: *b*
t :: {*u*}
t :: *u* /* this is an extended type and "illegal" under the normal definition */
r :: [*a*₁:*t*₁, *a*₂:*t*₂, ..., *a*_{*n*}:*t*_{*n*}]
u :: $\langle \text{tag}_1 : r_1 \mid \text{tag}_2 : r_2 \mid \dots \mid \text{tag}_n : r_n \rangle$

The **norm** operation is defined by:

norm(*b*) = *b*
norm({*u*}) = {**norm**(*u*)}
norm([*a*₁:*t*₁, *a*₂:*t*₂, ..., *a*_{*n*}:*t*_{*n*}]) = **rlabel_{a1}**(**norm**(*t*₁)) ⊗ ... ⊗ **rlabel_{an}**(**norm**(*t*_{*n*}))
norm($\langle \text{tag}_1 : r_1 \mid \text{tag}_2 : r_2 \mid \dots \mid \text{tag}_n : r_n \rangle$) = **ulabel_{tag1}**(**norm**(*r*₁)) ⊕ ... ⊕ **ulabel_{tagn}**(**norm**(*r*_{*n*}))

The operation **norm**(*t*) results in a normal type; and if *t* is a normal type then **norm**(*t*) = *t*.

2.8 Join

Join takes a tuple from each input table and tests this combination to see if it meets a predicate; if so, it returns a combined tuple as the result. Join allows specification of a different predicate and name and a different output tag for each pair wise combination of types from the two tables. Not all pairs must have a predicate and output tag: those that do not contribute to the join.

An "inner" join is described in the following.

Defn. Conditional predicate on two tuples:

The Join and Nest operations need conditions on two records:

$$c : r_i \times r_j \rightarrow \text{bool}$$

Defn. Combined tuple:

- 5 Join produces a combined tuple as its output. Recall the " \otimes " operator, which returns a new combined tuple.

$$r1 \otimes r2 = [a1_1:t1_1, a1_2:t1_2, \dots, a1_n:t1_n, a2_1:t2_1, \dots, a2_m:t2_m] \text{ where}$$

$$r1 = [a1_1:t1_1, a1_2:t1_2, \dots, a1_n:t1_n], \quad r2 = [a2_1:t2_1, \dots, a2_m:t2_m]$$

(There is an implicit condition here that the labels in the two records are disjoint.)

Defn. j-former:

A j-former of type:

$$j :: \langle \text{tag1}_1:r1_1 \mid \dots \mid \text{tag1}_n:r1_n \rangle, \langle \text{tag2}_1:r2_1 \mid \dots \mid \text{tag2}_m:r2_m \rangle \rightarrow \\ \langle \text{tag}'_1:r1_{i_1} \otimes r2_{j_1} \mid \dots \mid \text{tag}'_p:r1_{i_p} \otimes r2_{j_p} \rangle$$

is an expression of the form:

$$15 \quad j = \langle \text{tag1}_{i_1}, \text{tag2}_{j_1} / c_1 \rightarrow \text{tag}'_1 \mid \dots \mid \text{tag1}_{i_p}, \text{tag2}_{j_p} / c_p \rightarrow \text{tag}'_p \rangle$$

where:

1. $i_1, \dots, i_p \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $j_1, \dots, j_p \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that all pairs $(i_1, j_1), \dots, (i_p, j_p)$ are distinct (hence $p \leq n^2$), and all tags $\text{tag}'_1, \dots, \text{tag}'_p$ are distinct
2. $c_k : r1_{i_k} \times r2_{j_k} \rightarrow \text{bool}$, for $k = 1, \dots, p$.

Defn. Join operator:

Let $j :: t1 \times t2 \rightarrow t$ be a j-former. Then the join operator is:

$$25 \quad \bowtie_j (\{t1\}, \{t2\}) = \{t\}$$

2.8.1.1.1.1 Example

The following NCRs are used to illustrate a join of two tables:

2.8.1.1.1.1 Depts

Dept:	<i>dname</i>	<i>loc</i>
	Payroll	HQ
Dept:	<i>dname</i>	<i>loc</i>
	Quality Ctrl	HQ
Dept:	<i>dname</i>	<i>loc</i>
	Sales	HQ
Dept:	<i>dname</i>	<i>loc</i>
	Personnel	Satellite

Emp:	ename	ssn	dept
	Bob	123-45-6789	Payroll
Emp:	ename	ssn	dept
	Marilyn	321-54-9876	Quality Ctrl
Site:	sname	city	
	HQ	San Jose	
Emp:	ename	ssn	dept
	Qing	673-82-3845	Quality Ctrl
Emp:	ename	ssn	dept
	Betsy	233-23-6352	Sales
Emp:	ename	ssn	dept
	Brian	341-69-0323	Sales
Emp:	ename	ssn	dept
	Sam	356-02-6743	Payroll

A join on the two tables can be performed with one join predicate for (Dept x Emp) and another for (Dept x Site):

 `<Emp,Dept/($1.dept=$2 dname)→EmpLoc | Site,Dept /($1.loc=$2 sname)→FullDept> (EmpSites, Depts)`
returns the following NCR:

<i>EmpLoc:</i>	<i>ename</i>	<i>ssn</i>	<i>dept</i>	<i>dname</i>	<i>loc</i>
	Bob	123-45-6789	Payroll	Payroll	HQ
<i>EmpLoc:</i>	<i>ename</i>	<i>ssn</i>	<i>dépt</i>	<i>dname</i>	<i>loc</i>
	Marilyn	321-54-9876	Quality Ctrl	Quality Ctrl	HQ
<i>FullDept:</i>	<i>sname</i>	<i>city</i>	<i>dname</i>	<i>loc</i>	
	HQ	San Jose	<i>Payroll</i>	<i>HQ</i>	
<i>FullDept:</i>	<i>sname</i>	<i>city</i>	<i>dname</i>	<i>loc</i>	
	HQ	San Jose	<i>Quality Ctrl</i>	<i>HQ</i>	
<i>FullDept:</i>	<i>sname</i>	<i>city</i>	<i>dname</i>	<i>loc</i>	
	HQ	San Jose	Sales	HQ	
<i>EmpLoc:</i>	<i>ename</i>	<i>ssn</i>	<i>dept</i>	<i>dname</i>	<i>loc</i>
	Qing	673-82-3845	Quality Ctrl	Quality Ctrl	HQ
<i>EmpLoc:</i>	<i>ename</i>	<i>ssn</i>	<i>dept</i>	<i>dname</i>	<i>loc</i>
	Betsy	233-23-6352	Sales	Sales	HQ
<i>EmpLoc:</i>	<i>ename</i>	<i>ssn</i>	<i>dept</i>	<i>dname</i>	<i>loc</i>
	Brian	341-69-0323	Sales	Sales	HQ
<i>EmpLoc:</i>	<i>ename</i>	<i>ssn</i>	<i>dept</i>	<i>dname</i>	<i>loc</i>
	Sam	356-02-6743	Payroll	Payroll	HQ

2.9 Outer Join

An outer join has the same syntax as an inner join, with minor additional restrictions. The semantics differs:

Defn. oj-former:

Let $t1 = \langle \text{tag}1_1:r1_1 \mid \dots \mid \text{tag}1_n:r1_n \rangle$ and $t2 = \langle \text{tag}2_1:r2_1 \mid \dots \mid \text{tag}2_m:r2_m \rangle$. An oj-former for type:

$$oj :: t1, t2 \rightarrow t1 \oplus t2 \oplus \langle \text{tag}'_1 : r1_{i_1} \otimes r2_{j_1} \mid \dots \mid \text{tag}'_p : r1_{i_p} \otimes r2_{j_p} \rangle$$

is an expression of the form:

$$oj = \langle \text{tag}1_{i_1}, \text{tag}2_{j_1} / c_1 \rightarrow \text{tag}'_1 \mid \dots \mid \text{tag}1_{i_p}, \text{tag}2_{j_p} / c_p \rightarrow \text{tag}'_p \rangle$$

where:

1. $i_1, \dots, i_p \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $j_1, \dots, j_p \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that all pairs $(i_1, j_1), \dots, (i_p, j_p)$ are distinct (hence $p \leq mn$), and all tags $\text{tag}'_1, \dots, \text{tag}'_p$ are distinct
2. $c_k : r1_{i_k} \times r2_{j_k} \rightarrow \text{bool}$, for $k = 1, \dots, p$.
3. all tags $\text{tag}'_1, \dots, \text{tag}'_p$ are distinct, and they are also distinct both from $\text{tag}1_1, \dots, \text{tag}1_n$ and from $\text{tag}2_1, \dots, \text{tag}2_m$

Defn. Outer Join operator:

Let $oj :: t1 \times t2 \rightarrow t$ be an oj-former. The outer-join operator is:

$$\boxed{\square} \bowtie \boxed{\square} \text{ }_{oj}(\{t1\}, \{t2\}) = \{t\}$$

Example

The outer join is illustrated by a data integration scenario. There are two sources of persons' phone numbers where each source has an attribute that tells us the confidence in that piece of information:

Source1: $\{\langle \text{source1}:[\text{name1}:\text{string}, \text{phone1}:\text{int}, \text{conf1}:\text{real}] \rangle\}$

Source2: $\{\langle \text{source2}:[\text{name2}:\text{string}, \text{phone2}:\text{int}, \text{conf2}:\text{real}] \rangle\}$

The integration is done in two steps. First, the outer join is computed, then a selection/project that encapsulates the logic of the integration is applied. The first step results in the raw data:

$$\text{rawIntegratedData} = \text{Source1} \bowtie \text{Source2}$$

where the oj-former is:

$$oj = \langle \text{source1}, \text{source2} / (\$1.\text{name1} = \$2.\text{name2}) \rightarrow \text{integrated} \rangle$$

The type of **rawIntegratedData** is:

$$\text{rawIntegratedData} :: \{ \langle \text{source1}:[\text{name1}, \text{phone1}, \text{conf1}] \mid \text{source2}:[\text{name2}, \text{phone2}, \text{conf2}] \mid \text{integrated}:[\text{name1}, \text{name2}, \text{phone1}, \text{phone2}, \text{conf1}, \text{conf2}] \rangle \}$$

That is, it will include all "integrated" records, as well as "dangling tuples" from each source. The second step can now apply an arbitrarily sophisticated integration logic. For

example, source 1 may be trusted more than source 2, have some complex rules on how to deal with conflicting information, and drop records where the confidence is too low:

$$\text{integratedData} = \Sigma_p(\text{rawIntegratedData})$$

5

where the p-former p encapsulates the integration logic:

p = <source1/(conf1 > 0.1) → certain:[name1 → name, phone1 → phone]
 | source2(conf2 > 0.5) → certain:[name2 → name, phone2 → phone]
 10 | integrated/(conf1 > 0.4) → certain:[name1 → name, phone1 → phone]
 | integrated/(conf1 ≤ 0.4 and conf2 > 0.7) →
 certain:[name1 → name, phone2 → phone]
 | integrated/(conf1 in 0.1..0.4 and conf2 in 0.5..0.7) →
 uncertain:[name1 → name, phone1, phone2] >

15

The type of integratedData is:

integratedData: {<certain:[name, phone], uncertain:[name, phone1, phone2]>}

20

that is, in some cases both phone numbers are kept since the confidence does not favor one over the other.

2.10 Nest

25

The Nest operator works like a left outer join, but it nests all matching children within the tuple of each parent. Nesting is commonly done in XML-QL subqueries, and any time there is a 1:n parent-child hierarchy in the output. Nest has left outer join semantics, rather than inner join semantics, and that it preserves the order of the parent relation. Nest can rename both the tag types for the nested tuples and for the parent tuples.

Defn. n-former.

30

Given n x m predicates:

$$c_{ij} = r1_i \times r2_j \rightarrow \text{bool}$$

and n new labels, b₁, ..., b_n. An n-former of type:

$$n :: \langle \text{tag}1_1 : r1_1 \mid \dots \mid \text{tag}1_n : r1_n \rangle, \langle \text{tag}2_1 : r2_1 \mid \dots \mid \text{tag}2_m : r2_m \rangle \rightarrow \\ \langle \text{tag}1 : r1 \otimes [b_1 : \{u_2\}] \mid \dots \mid \text{tag}n : r_n \otimes [b_n : \{u_2\}] \rangle$$

35

is given by an expression:

$$n = \langle \text{tag}i_1 : [b_i : \langle \text{tag}21/c_{i1} \mid \dots \mid \text{tag}2m/c_{im} \rangle] \mid i = 1, \dots, n \rangle$$

Defn. Nest operator:

Let n :: u₁, u₂ → u be an n-former. Then

$$\text{Nest}_n(\{u_1\}, \{u_2\}) = \{u\}$$

40

Example This example uses same **Depts** and **EmpsSites** relations of types:

Depts: {<Dept:[dname, loc]>}

EmpsSites: {<Emp: [ename, ssn, dept] | Site: [sname, city]>}

and performs the operation:

5 **Nest** <Dept:[info: <Emp/(\$1 dname=\$2 dept) | Site/(\$1.loc = \$2 sname)>]>(Depts, EmpsSites)

The result has type:

{<Dept:[dname, loc, info: {<Emp: [ename, ssn, dept] | Site:[sname, city]>}]>}

and is depicted below:

Dept:	dname	loc	info	ename	ssn	dept
	Payroll	HQ	2.11.1.1.1.1.1 Emp:	Bob	123-45-6789	Payroll
				sname	city	
			Site:	HQ	San Jose	
				sname	ssn	dept
			Emp:	Sam	356-02-6743	Payroll
Dept:	dname	loc	info	ename	ssn	dept
	Quality Ctrl	HQ	2.11.1.1.1.1.2 Emp:	Marilyn	321-54-9876	Quality Ctrl
				sname	city	
			Site:	HQ	San Jose	
				sname	ssn	dept
			2.11.1.1.1.1.3 Emp:	Qing	673-82-3845	Quality Ctrl
Dept:	dname	loc	info	sname	city	
	Sales	HQ	2.11.1.1.1.1.4 Emp:	HQ	San Jose	
				ename	ssn	dept
			2.11.1.1.1.1.5 Emp:	Betsy	233-23-6352	Sales
				ename	ssn	dept
				Brian	341-69-0323	Sales
	dname	loc	info			
	Personnel	Satellite	{}			

2.11 Union

The union of two union types, $u_1 \oplus u_2$, is defined to consist of all tags in both types, provided that a tag occurring in both u_1 and u_2 has identical types in u_1 and u_2 .

Defn. Union operator:

$$U(\{ \langle r_{i1}, r_{i2}, \dots, r_{in} \rangle \}, \{ \langle r_{j1}, r_{j2}, \dots, r_{jm} \rangle \}) = \{ \langle r_{i1}, r_{i2}, \dots, r_{in} \rangle \oplus \langle r_{j1}, r_{j2}, \dots, r_{jm} \rangle \}$$

Example

The union operator is illustrated using the **Depts** and **EmpsSites** tables from the join example. The operation:

10 $U(\text{Depts}, \text{EmpsSites})$
results in the NCR:

Dept:	<i>dname</i>	<i>loc</i>	
	Payroll	HQ	
Dept:	<i>dname</i>	<i>loc</i>	
	Quality Ctrl	HQ	
Dept:	<i>dname</i>	<i>loc</i>	
	Sales	HQ	
Dept:	<i>name</i>	<i>loc</i>	
	Personnel	Satellite	
Emp:	<i>ename</i>	<i>ssn</i>	<i>sal</i>
	Bob	123-45-6789	Payroll
Emp:	<i>ename</i>	<i>ssn</i>	<i>sal</i>
	Marilyn	321-54-9876	Quality Ctrl
Site:	<i>sname</i>	<i>city</i>	
	HQ	San Jose	
Emp:	<i>ename</i>	<i>ssn</i>	<i>sal</i>
	Qing	673-82-3845	Quality Ctrl
Emp:	<i>ename</i>	<i>ssn</i>	<i>sal</i>
	Betsy	233-23-6352	Sales
Emp:	<i>ename</i>	<i>ssn</i>	<i>sal</i>
	Brian	341-69-0323	Sales
Emp:	<i>ename</i>	<i>ssn</i>	<i>sal</i>
	Sam	356-02-6743	Payroll

The expression $A \cup B$, for various types of A and B is illustrated in the following:

- 15 1. If $A: \{ \langle \text{Emp}:[\text{name}, \text{phone}] \rangle \}$, $B: \{ \langle \text{Dept}:[\text{name}, \text{floor}] \rangle \}$, then $A \cup B$ has type $\{ \langle \text{Emp}:[\text{name}, \text{phone}] \mid \text{Dept}:[\text{name}, \text{floor}] \rangle \}$ and denotes their disjoint union (*i.e.*, no duplicates are introduced, and duplicate elimination is not needed).
2. If $A: \{ \langle \text{Emp}:[\text{name}, \text{phone}] \mid \text{Mngr}:[\text{name}, \text{beeper}] \rangle \}$ and $B: \{ \langle \text{Dept}:[\text{name}, \text{floor}] \mid \text{Mngr}:[\text{name}, \text{beeper}] \rangle \}$ then $A \cup B$ has type $\{ \langle \text{Emp}:[\text{name}, \text{phone}] \mid \text{Mngr}:[\text{name}, \text{beeper}] \mid \text{Dept}:[\text{name}, \text{floor}] \rangle \}$ and means: take the disjoint union of **Emp**'s from A and **Dept**'s from B , and take the regular union of **Mngr**'s from both A and B . The output type is: $\{ \langle \text{Emp}:[\text{name}, \text{phone}] \mid \text{Mngr}:[\text{name}, \text{beeper}] \mid \text{Emp}:[\text{name}, \text{phone}] \rangle \}$. We

need to do duplicate elimination on **Mngr**'s. If the type of **Mngr** in B is changed, such that the types of **Mngr** in A and B do not coincide any more, then $A \cup B$ is illegal.

- 5 3. If both A and B have the same type, say $\{ \langle \text{Emp}:[\text{name}, \text{phone}] \mid \text{Mngr}:[\text{name}, \text{beeper}] \rangle \}$, then $A \cup B$ is the regular union and the output type is the same.

2.11 Distinct

The distinct operator removes duplicates:

10 **distinct**: $\{t\} \rightarrow \{t\}$

2.12 Aggregates

Aggregate operators are included in the combo operator. The five aggregates in SQL and: **count**, **sum**, **min**, **max**, **avg**. Count is treated slightly differently. Let agg be one of **sum**, **min**, **max**, **avg**, and let b be the base type to which it applies (b can be int, real, or string when agg is **min** or **max**, **int** or **real** when agg is **sum**, and **real** only when agg is **avg**). Consider a union type:

20 $u = \langle \text{tag}_1 : r_1 \mid \dots \mid \text{tag}_n : r_n \rangle$

and let $e_1 : r_1 \rightarrow b$, ..., $e_n : r_n \rightarrow b$ be expressions. Then the following is an expression:

$\text{agg} \langle \text{tag}_1 / e_1 \mid \dots \mid \text{tag}_n / e_n \rangle : \{u\} \rightarrow b$

25 Expressions can be used in combo operators.

Example. The following example uses the NCR:

30 **Products**: $\{ \langle \text{Indigenous}:[\text{name}, \text{quantity}, \text{category}], \text{Imported}:[\text{n}, \text{q}, \text{c}] \rangle \}$

Where **n,q,c** stand also for name, quantity, category. The computation of the total quantities for all categories is performed in three steps: First, all categories are computed:

35 **Cat** = **distinct**($\Sigma \langle \text{Indigenous} \rightarrow \text{Cat} [\text{category} \rightarrow \text{c}] \mid \text{Imported} \rightarrow \text{Cat} : [\text{c}] \rangle (\text{Products})$)

The type is $\{ \langle \text{Cat}:[\text{c}] \rangle \}$. Second, the products are nested by categories:

40 **Groups** = **Nest** $\langle \text{Cat}:[\text{Prods} \langle \text{Indigenous}/(\$1.\text{c} = \$2.\text{category}) \mid \text{Imported}/(\$1.\text{c} = \$2.\text{c}) \rangle (\text{Cat}, \text{Products})$

The type is $\{ \langle \text{Cat}:[\text{c}, \text{Prods} : \{ \langle \text{Indigenous}:[\dots], \text{Imported}:[\dots] \rangle \}] \rangle \}$.

Third, the sum of all quantities is computed:

Answer = $\Sigma \langle \text{Cat}:[c, \text{total.sum} \langle \text{Indigenous}/\text{quantity} \mid \text{Imported}/q \rangle (\text{Prods})] \rangle (\text{Groups})$

The type is $\{\langle \text{Cat}:[c, \text{total}] \rangle\}$.

5

3 NCR-QL

The following illustrates how XML-QL could be mapped into the algebra. However, XML-QL works over XML data, not NCRs, so an NCR version is defined of XML-QL ("NCR-QL"), that works on NCRs.

10 The analogy between XML-QL and NCR-QL is the following:

XML-QL: where-construct

NCR-QL: from-case-where-construct

15 3.1 Query 1

EmpsDeptsSites is the relation defined earlier containing tuples about Employees, Departments, and Sites. HOwnersCities is a relation containing tuples about HomeOwners and Cities. The following NCR-QL query performs some join between the two:

From EmpsDeptsSites, HOwnersCities

20 **Case** (Emp:[name:\$X, ssn:\$Y, sal:\$Z, phone:\$U], HOwner:[lastname:\$V, zip:\$W]) :

(**Where** \$X=\$V AND \$Z > 100000

Construct EHO:[name:\$X,ssn:Y,zip\$W])

| (Dept:[name:\$X, loc:\$Y, mgr:\$Z], HOwner:[lastname:\$V, zip:\$W]) :

(**Where** \$Z=\$V

25 **Construct** DHO:[name:\$Z, dept:\$X, zip:\$W]

| (Dept:[name:\$X, loc:\$Y, mgr:\$Z], City:[cityname:\$V, place:\$W]):

(**Where** \$Y = \$W

Construct DC:[name:\$X,city:\$V])

30 All combinations of tags from **EmpsDeptsSites** (defined above) and **HOwnersCities** (some other NCR) listed in the Case statement are inspected, and in each case a different output tag is produced. The corresponding algebra expression is:

$\Sigma_p(\text{EmpSites} \bowtie_j \text{Depts})$

where:

$j = \langle \text{Emp}, \text{HOwner} / (\text{name}=\text{lastname} \text{ AND } \text{sal} > 100000) \rightarrow \text{EHO}$

| $\text{Dept}, \text{HOwner} / (\text{mgr}=\text{lastname}) \rightarrow \text{DHO}$

| $\text{Dept}, \text{City} / (\text{loc}=\text{place}) \rightarrow \text{DC} \rangle$

40

$p = \langle \text{EHO}:[\text{name}, \text{ssn}, \text{zip}], \text{DHO}:[\text{mgr} \rightarrow \text{name}, \text{name} \rightarrow \text{dept}, \text{zip}], \text{DC}:[\text{name}, \text{cityname} \rightarrow \text{city}] \rangle$

3.2 Query 2

This query illustrates patterns over sets and how they are translated into the algebra. It roughly corresponds to the XML-QL pattern:

```
<products> <product> <name> $n </>
      <orders> <order> <date> $d </> </>
    </product>
</products> IN Products
```

where $\langle \text{orders} \rangle$ is a set. The NCR-QL query is more powerful since it handles heterogeneous collections of products and orders.

```

From Products
Case (SeattleProduct:[name:$n, price:$p, orders:$x]):
  Construct
    (From $x
      Case (order:[customer:$c, date:$d]): Where $p<100
        Construct usProductDate:[name:$n,date:$d])
  | (ParisProduct:[nome$n,prix:$p,orders:$x]):
    Construct
      (From $x
        Case (euOrder:[country:$c,date:$d]): Where $p>35
          Construct euProductDate:[name:$n, date:$d]
        | (usOrder:[city:$c,date:$d]): Construct importProductDate:[name:$n,date:$d])

```

Here Product has type (base types omitted):

```
{<SeattleProduct:[name,price,orders:{<order:[customer,date]>}} |
  ParisProduct: [nome,prix,orders:{<euOrder:[country,date] |
importOrder:[city,date]>}}>}
```

While XML-QL had a single pattern, nested patterns in NCR-QL are needed to match over nested sets. The NCR-QL query is translated into the algebra using a **match** operator:

$$\Sigma_p(\Omega_m(\text{Products}))$$

where:

```
m = <SeattleProduct:[name:_, price:_, orders:unnest{<order:[customer:_, date:_]}>}
    | ParisProduct:[nome:_, prix:_, orders: unnest{<euOrder:[country:_, date:_]
        | usOrder:[city:_, date:_]}>}>
```

Note that $\Omega_m(\text{Products})$ has type:

```
{<SeattleProduct:[name,price,orders:<order:[customer,date]>]} |
```

ParisProduct: [nome,prix,orders:<euOrder:[country,date] |
importOrder:[city,date]>>}

Which is the same type as for Products, with inner braces erased. It normalizes to:

5 {<SeattleProduct.order:[name, price, orders.customer, orders.date]
| ParisProduct.euOrder:[nome, prix, orders.country, orders.date]
| ParisProduct.importOrder.[orders.city, orders.date] >}

Hence, p is:

10 <SeattleProduct.order/price<100 → UsProductDate: [name → name, orders.date → date]
| ParisProduct.euOrder/prix>35 → EuProductDate: [name → name, orders.date → date]
| ParisProduct.importOrder → ImportProductDate: [name → name, orders.date → date]>

15 3.3 Query 3

The nesting in NCR-QL is illustrated using subqueries.

From Products

Case (product: [id:\$x, name:\$n, price:\$p]:

(Where \$p<100

Construct ProductWithOrders:[name:\$n,

orders: From Orders

Case (order:[pid:\$y, quantity:\$q, date:\$d]):

Where \$x=\$y AND \$q>5555

Construct order:[date:\$d]

)

The types are (with base types omitted):

30 Products: {<product:[id, name, price]>} Orders: {<order:[pid,quantity,date]>}

The algebra expression equivalent to Query 3 is:

35 $\Sigma_p(\text{Nest}_n(\text{Products}, \text{Orders}))$

where n is:

40 $n = \langle \text{product: [orders: } \langle \text{order/id=pid} \rangle \rangle$

and p is:

$p = \langle (\text{product/price} < 100 \rightarrow \text{ProductWithOrders}): [\text{name, orders: } \{ \langle \text{order/quantity} > 5555: [\text{date}] \}] \rangle$

45 Notice that $\text{Nest}_n(\text{Products}, \text{Orders})$ has type:

$\text{Nest}_n(\text{Products}, \text{Orders}) : \{ \langle \text{product}: [\text{id}, \text{name}, \text{price}, \text{orders}: \{ \langle \text{order}: [\text{pid}, \text{quantity}, \text{date}] \rangle \} \rangle \}$

3.4 General Form of Queries

5 A general form of algebra expressions for NCR-QL (and, hence, XML-QL) queries is:

$\Sigma_p(\text{Nest}_{n1}(\text{Join}_1, \text{Nest}_{n2}(\text{Join}_2, \dots \text{Nest}_{nk-1}(\text{Join}_{k-1}, \text{Join}_k) \dots)))$
where:

10 $\text{Join}_1 = \Omega_{m11}(R_{11}) \bowtie_{j11} \Omega_{m12}(R_{12}) \bowtie_{j11} \dots$
 $\text{Join}_2 = \Omega_{m21}(R_{21}) \bowtie_{j21} \Omega_{m22}(R_{22}) \bowtie_{j21} \dots$
 \dots
 $\text{Join}_k = \Omega_{mk1}(R_{k1}) \bowtie_{jk1} \Omega_{mk2}(R_{k2}) \bowtie_{jk1} \dots$

4 Algebraic Laws

The following three kinds of algebraic laws are formed:

- 20
- Push selections and projections down. Selections and projections are captured by the Combo operator, Σ_p , hence laws are needed that commute Combo with other operators
 - Join reordering: associativity, commutativity.
 - Join-nest associativity.
- 25

4.1 Laws that Push Combo (=Selections and Projections) Down

4.1.1 The Combo-Combo Law

Let $p : t_1 \rightarrow t_2$, and $q : t_2 \rightarrow t_3$ be two p-formers. Then:

30 $\Sigma_q(\Sigma_p(R)) = \Sigma_r(R)$ (the combo-combo law)

Here r is a new p-former defined as $r = \text{ppcompose}(q, p)$, by induction on q first, and, where needed, by induction on p second.

/* $q = \text{identity}$ */

$\text{ppcompose}(_, p) = p$

35 /* $q = \text{record p-former}$ */

$\text{ppcompose}([(b_{i_1} \rightarrow c_1):q_1, \dots, (b_{i_k} \rightarrow c_k):q_k, c_{k+1}:e_1, c_{k+2}:e_2, \dots, c_r:e_{r-k}], _) = [(b_{i_1} \rightarrow c_1):q_1, \dots, (b_{i_k} \rightarrow c_k):q_k, c_{k+1}:e_1, c_{k+2}:e_2, \dots, c_r:e_{r-k}]$

$\text{ppcompose}([(b_{i_1} \rightarrow c_1):q_1, \dots, (b_{i_k} \rightarrow c_k):q_k, c_{k+1}:e_1, c_{k+2}:e_2, \dots, c_r:e_{r-k}], [(a_{j_1} \rightarrow b_1):p_1, \dots, (a_{j_m} \rightarrow b_s):p_s, b_{s+1}:f_1, c_{s+2}:f_2, \dots, b_m:f_{m-s}]) =$

40 $[(a_{j_1} \rightarrow c_1):\text{ppcompose}(q_1, p_{i_1}), \dots, (a_{j_k} \rightarrow c_k):\text{ppcompose}(q_k, p_{i_k}),$
 $c_{t+1}:f_{i_{t+1}-s}, c_{t+2}:f_{i_{t+2}-s}, \dots, c_k:f_{i_k-s},$

$c_{k+1}:e_1 \circ p, c_{k+2}:e_2 \circ p, \dots, c_r:e_{r-k} \circ p]$
 /* here $\{j_1, \dots, j_m\} \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, with $i_1 < i_2$
 $< \dots < i_t \leq s < i_{t+1} < \dots < i_k \leq m$,
 p is the second p-former, $p = [(a_{j_1} \rightarrow b_1):p_1, \dots, (a_{j_m} \rightarrow b_s):p_s,$

5 $b_{s+1}:f_1, c_{s+2}:f_2, \dots, b_m:f_{m-s}]$,
 and $e \circ p$ means "apply the p-former p first, then e" and is defined below */

/* q = variant p-former */

ppcompose((tag'/c' \rightarrow tag'):q, $_$) = (tag/c \rightarrow tag'):q

ppcompose((tag'/c' \rightarrow tag'):q, (tag/c \rightarrow tag'):p) =

10 (tag/(c and c'p) \rightarrow tag'):ppcompose(q,p)

/* here c'p is defined below and means: apply p first, then check c' */

/* q = union p-former */

ppcompose($\langle q_1 | \dots | q_k \rangle$, $_$) = $\langle q_1 | \dots | q_k \rangle$

15 **ppcompose**($\langle q_1 | \dots | q_k \rangle$, $\langle p_1 | \dots | p_m \rangle$) = $\langle \dots | \text{ppcompose}(q_i, p_j) | \dots \rangle$

/* here each q_i is paired with all those p_j that have an output tag that matches
 the input tag in q_i : according to the definitions there could be one or more */

/* q = set p-former */

ppcompose($\{q\}$, $_$) = $\{q\}$

ppcompose($\{q\}$, $\{p\}$) = $\{\text{ppcompose}(q,p)\}$

20

Composition of a p former with an expression, $e \circ p$, and a p-former with a condition,
 $c \circ p$, are defined. In both cases, the expression (e) and the condition (c) have a single
 record argument. (i.e., only use \$1, which, by convention, may be omitted), while p is a
 record p-former, $p = [(a_{i_1} \rightarrow b_1):p_1, \dots, (a_{i_k} \rightarrow b_k):p_k, c_1:e_1, \dots, c_p:e_p]$.

25

epcompose(\$1.b_j, p) = \$1.a_{i_j}

epcompose(\$1.c_j, p) = e_j

epcompose(e op e', p) = **epcompose**(e,p) op **epcompose**(e',p) where p is +, -, *, /,

...

30 **epcompose**(f(e₁,e₂,...), p) = f(**epcompose**(e₁,p), **epcompose**(e₂,p),...)

cpcompose(e op e', p) = **epcompose**(e, p) op **epcompose**(e',p) where op is <, >, <=,
 >=, ...

cpcompose($\langle \text{tag}:[a_1:e_1, \dots, a_n:e_n] \rangle \text{ IN } e, p$) = $\langle \text{tag}:[a_1:\text{epcompose}(e_1,p), \dots, a_n:$

35 **epcompose**(e_n,p)] $\rangle \text{ IN } \text{epcompose}(e,p)$

cpcompose(exists(e), p) = exists(**epcompose**(e,p))

cpcompose(true, p) = true, **cpcompose**(false, p) = false

cpcompose(c₁ and c₂, p) = **cpcompose**(c₁, p) and **cpcompose**(c₂,p) same for or, not

40 Example. Let $p = \langle \text{Emp}/(\text{sal} > 50000) \rightarrow \text{HighEarner}:[\text{name} \rightarrow \text{richName}: _] |$
 $\text{Dept}/(\text{mgr} = \text{"Betsy"}) \rightarrow \text{BetsyManages}:[\text{name} \rightarrow \text{name}: _] |$
 $\text{Site}/(\text{true}) \rightarrow \text{Site}:[\text{name} \rightarrow \text{name}: _, \text{city} \rightarrow \text{city}: _] \rangle$
 Define $R = \Sigma_p(\text{EmpsDeptsSites})$

```
Site/(city="Seattle") → HighEarner:[name → name:_]>
```

5

And define $R' = \Sigma_q(R) = \Sigma_q(\Sigma_p(\mathbf{EmpsDeptsSites}))$.

Then $R' = \Sigma_{ppcompose(q,p)}(\mathbf{EmpsDeptsSites})$, where:

$$\text{ppcompose}(q,p) =$$

`<Emp/(sal>50000 and like(name,"%Smith%")) → HighEarner:[name → name:_] |`

10 Dept/(mgr="Betsy" and true) → Betsy: [name → name:]

```
Site/(true and city="Seattle") → HighEarner:[name → name: _]>
```

4.1.2 Applications of the combo-combo law

- 15 1. Commuting order of selections. Consider an example with simple combo operators:

$$\frac{\sum^0 \langle \text{Emp}/(\text{sal}=20000) \rangle (\sum^0 \langle \text{Dept}/(\text{mgr}=\text{"Smith"}) \rangle (\mathbf{R}))}{\sum^0 \langle \text{Dept}/(\text{mgr}=\text{"Smith"}) \rangle (\sum^0 \langle \text{Emp}/(\text{sal}=20000) \rangle (\mathbf{R}))}$$

To prove that we expand the simple combos into combos, then apply the combo-combo rule. The left hand side becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma^0_{\langle \mathbf{Emp}/(\text{sal}=20000) \rangle} (\Sigma^0_{\langle \mathbf{Dept}/(\text{mgr}=\text{"Smith"}) \rangle} (\mathbf{R})) &= \\ &= \Sigma_{\langle \mathbf{Emp}/(\text{sal}=20000) \mid \mathbf{Dept}_{-} \mid \mathbf{Other}_{-} \rangle} (\Sigma_{\langle \mathbf{Emp}_{-} \mid \mathbf{Dept}/(\text{mgr}=\text{"Smith"}) \mid \mathbf{Other}_{-} \rangle} (\mathbf{R})) \\ &= \Sigma_{\langle \mathbf{Emp}/(\text{sal}=20000) \mid \mathbf{Dept}/(\text{mgr}=\text{"Smith"}) \mid \mathbf{Other}_{-} \rangle} (\mathbf{R}) \end{aligned}$$

The right hand side is similarly:

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma^0_{\langle \text{Dept}/(\text{mgr}=\text{"Smith"}) \rangle}(\Sigma^0_{\langle \text{Emp}/(\text{sal}=20000) \rangle}(\mathbf{R})) &= \\ &= \Sigma_{\langle \text{Emp}_{-} | \text{Dept}/(\text{mgr}=\text{"Smith"}) | \text{Other}_{-} \rangle}(\Sigma_{\langle \text{Emp}/(\text{sal}=20000) | \text{Dept}_{-} | \text{Other}_{-} \rangle}(\mathbf{R})) \\ &= \Sigma_{\langle \text{Emp}/(\text{sal}=20000) | \text{Dept}_{-}/(\text{mgr}=\text{"Smith"}) | \text{Other}_{-} \rangle}(\mathbf{R}) \end{aligned}$$

Hence the two are equal.

- ## 2. Commuting inner selections.

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum^0 \langle \text{Dept}[\text{project}:\{\langle \text{urgent}/(\text{deadline})=\text{"10/10/2010"}\rangle\}] \rangle (\sum^0 \langle \text{Dept}[\text{project}:\{\langle \text{urgent}/(\text{budget})\leq 10000 \rangle\}] \rangle \\ & \quad \langle \text{R} \rangle) = \\ & = \sum^0 \langle \text{Dept}[\text{project}:\{\langle \text{urgent}/\text{budget}\leq 10000 \rangle\}] \rangle (\sum^0 \langle \text{Dept}[\text{project}:\{\langle \text{urgent}/(\text{deadline})=\text{"10/10/2010"} \rangle\}] \rangle \\ & \quad \langle \text{R} \rangle) \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, take the left hand side and expand the simple combos into combos, then apply the combo-combo law

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum^0 \langle \text{Dept}[\text{project}:\{\langle \text{urgent}/(\text{deadline}) \rangle = "10/10/2010" \rangle\} \rangle (\sum^0 \langle \text{Dept}[\text{project}:\{\langle \text{urgent}/(\text{budget}) \rangle \leq 10000 \rangle\} \rangle (\mathbf{R})) = \\ & = \sum \langle \text{Emp}_{_} | \text{Dept}[\text{name}_{_}, \text{mgr}_{_}, \text{project}:\{\langle \text{urgent}/(\text{deadline}) \rangle = "10/10/2010" \rangle | \text{normal}_{_} \rangle\} \rangle (\sum \langle \text{Emp}_{_} | \\ & \text{Dept}[\text{name}_{_}, \text{mgr}_{_}, \text{project}:\{\langle \text{urgent}/(\text{budget}) \rangle \leq 10000 \rangle | \text{normal}_{_} \rangle\} \rangle (\mathbf{R})) = \\ & = \sum \langle \text{Emp}_{_} | \text{Dept}[\text{name}_{_}, \text{mgr}_{_}, \text{project}:\{\langle \text{urgent}/(\text{deadline}) \rangle = "10/10/2010" \text{ and } \text{budget} \leq 10000 \rangle | \\ & \text{normal}_{_} \rangle\} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

The right hand side is treated similarly and results in the same expression, hence they are equal.

3. Pushing predicates to sources. Consider a selection on the predicate (**name like "Smith%"**). The source supports some predicates, but not this one. Suppose it supports the predicate (**name like "%Smith%"**). The predicate is:

$c = (\text{name like "Smith%"})$

the source predicate is:

$c_s = (\text{name like "%Smith%"})$

The optimizer knows the following implication:

$c \Rightarrow c_s$

which is equivalent to:

$c = c \text{ and } c_s$

Hence it can perform the following optimization:

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma^0_{\langle \text{Emp}/(\text{name like "Smith%"}) \rangle}(\mathbf{R}) &= \Sigma^0_{\langle \text{Emp}/(c) \rangle}(\mathbf{R}) = \Sigma^0_{\langle \text{Emp}/(c \text{ and } c_s) \rangle}(\mathbf{R}) \\ &= \Sigma^0_{\langle \text{Emp}/(c) \rangle}(\Sigma^0_{\langle \text{Emp}/(c_s) \rangle}(\mathbf{R})) \\ &= \Sigma^0_{\langle \text{Emp}/(\text{name like "Smith%"}) \rangle}(\Sigma^0_{\langle \text{Emp}/(\text{name like "%Smith%"}) \rangle}(\mathbf{R})) \end{aligned}$$

4.1.3 The Combo-Nest Law

Let $n :: u_1, u_2 \rightarrow u$ be an n-former and $p :: u \rightarrow u$ be a p-former. Then the following holds:

$$\Sigma_p(\text{Nest}_n(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{S})) = \Sigma_{p_3}(\text{Nest}_{n'}(\Sigma_{p_1}(\mathbf{R}), \Sigma_{p_2}(\mathbf{S}))) \quad (\text{generic combo-nest law})$$

where p_1, p_2, p_3 are p-formers and n' is an n-former to be described next. The following can be chosen: $p_3=p$, $n'=n$, and $p_1=p_2=_$, and the identity holds. But, p_1 and p_2 are chosen to do as much as possible of the work that p does.

Let $u_1 = \langle \text{tag}_{11}:r_{11} \mid \dots \mid \text{tag}_{1n}:r_{1n} \rangle$, $u_2 = \langle \text{tag}_{21}:r_{21} \mid \dots \mid \text{tag}_{2m}:r_{2m} \rangle$. The n-former n has the form:

$$n = \langle \text{tag}_{1i} : [b_i : \langle \text{tag}_{21}/c_{i1} \mid \dots \mid \text{tag}_{2m}/c_{im} \rangle] \mid i = 1, \dots, n \rangle$$

The p-former p has the form:

$$\begin{aligned} p &= \langle p_1 \mid \dots \mid p_k \rangle \\ \text{where: } p_i &= \text{tag}_{1j_i} / c'_i \rightarrow \text{tag}_{3i}:q_i, \quad c'_i : r_{j_i} \otimes [b_{j_i} : \{u_2\}] \rightarrow \text{bool}, \quad i = 1, \dots, k, \\ &\text{where } \{j_1, \dots, j_k\} \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \end{aligned}$$

Combo-nest law 1: Assume that, for some $i=1, \dots, k$, the predicate c'_i ignores the nested part (formally: $\$1.b_{j_i}$ does not occur in c'_i), and that q_i is the identity p-former. That is:

000000 000000

1

5

Here $n'=n$ and $p_2 = \frac{1}{2}$.

10

15

$$r = \langle \text{tag}_{21}/c_{11}'' \mid \dots \mid \text{tag}_{2m}/c_{im}'' \rangle$$
$$p_i' = \text{tag}_{l_i} / c_i' \rightarrow \text{tag}_{l_i} : _ \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, k$$

20

$$p_2 = r$$
$$p3 = \langle \text{tag}_{1j1} \rightarrow \text{tag}_{i31} : _ \mid \dots \mid \text{tag}_{1jk} \rightarrow \text{tag}_{i3k} : _ \rangle$$

25

$$\Sigma_p(\mathbf{Nest}_n(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{S})) = \Sigma_{p3}(\mathbf{Nest}_n(\Sigma_{p1}(\mathbf{R}), \Sigma_{p2}(\mathbf{S}))) \quad (\text{combo-nest law 2})$$

4.1.4 The Combo-Join Law

Let $j :: u_1, u_2 \rightarrow u$ be a j-former and $p :: u \rightarrow u'$ be a p-former. Then the following holds:

$$5 \quad \Sigma_p(R \bowtie_j S) = \Sigma_{p3}(\Sigma_{p1}(R) \bowtie_j \Sigma_{p2}(S)) \quad (\text{the combo-join law})$$

where p_1, p_2, p_3 are defined below.

Notations:

$$10 \quad j = \langle \text{tag}1_i, \text{tag}2_j / c_{ij} \rightarrow \text{tag}_{ij}' \mid i = 1, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, m \rangle$$

$$p = \langle \text{tag}_{ij}' / c_{ij}' \rightarrow \text{tag}_{ij}'' : q_{ij} \mid i = 1, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, m \rangle$$

Here $c_{ij} : r_{1i} \times r_{2j} \rightarrow \text{bool}$ is the join condition, while $c_{ij}' : r_{1i} \times r_{2j} \rightarrow \text{bool}$ is a selection predicate. Not all combinations of tags tag_{ij}' must occur in p , but to simplify notations they are included. Assume that $c_{ij}'(x, y) = d_{ij}(x) \text{ AND } e_{ij}(y) \text{ AND } f_{ij}(x, y)$. That is $d_{ij}(x)$ contains all conditions that only inspect only values from the left join operand, $e_{ij}(y)$ those conditions that only inspect values from the right join operand, while $f_{ij}(x, y)$ contains conditions that inspect values from both operands and cannot be separated. The conditions on the left operand are independent of j , i.e.,:

$$20 \quad d_{i1}(x) = d_{i2}(x) = \dots = d_{im}(x) = d_i(x) \quad \text{for } i=1, \dots, n$$

and similarly:

$$25 \quad e_{1j}(x) = e_{2j}(x) = \dots = e_{nj}(x) = e_j(x) \quad \text{for } j=1, \dots, m$$

This can often be achieved, by manipulating boolean conditions, factoring out the common parts and pushing the specific parts into $f_{ij}(x, y)$. Then define:

$$30 \quad p_1 = \langle \text{tag}1_1 / d_1 \rightarrow \text{tag}1_{1..n} / d_n \rightarrow \text{tag}1_n \rangle$$

$$p_2 = \langle \text{tag}2_1 / e_1 \rightarrow \text{tag}2_{1..m} / e_m \rightarrow \text{tag}2_m \rangle$$

$$p_3 = \langle \text{tag}_{ij}' / f_{ij} \rightarrow \text{tag}_{ij}'' : q_{ij} \mid i = 1, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, m \rangle$$

35

4.2 Laws that commute joins and nests

40 4.2.1 Join Associativity and Commutativity

Join commutativity holds:



$$R \bowtie_j S = S \bowtie_j R \quad (\text{join commutativity law})$$

Join associativity:

$$5 \quad (R \bowtie_{j1} S) \bowtie_{j2} T = R \bowtie_{j3} (S \bowtie_{j4} T) \quad (\text{join associativity law})$$

holds for various choices of the j-formers j3 and j4.

10 In $R \bowtie_{j1} S$ only a subset of all pairs of tags need to have join conditions. To simplify notations, however, each join considers all pairs of tags. Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} j1 &= \langle \text{tag}_{1i}, \text{tag}_{2j} / c_{1ij} \rightarrow \text{tag}_{4ij} \mid i = 1, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, m \rangle \\ j2 &= \langle \text{tag}_{4ij}, \text{tag}_{3k} / c_{2ijk} \rightarrow \text{tag}_{5jk} \mid i = 1, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, m, k = 1, \dots, p \rangle \end{aligned}$$

15 The condition c_{2ijk} looks at three records: x from R, y from S, and z from T. Decomposing it into two pieces:

$$c_{2ijk}(x, y, z) = c_{3ijk}(x, y, z) \text{ AND } c_{4jk}(y, z)$$

20 such that the part inspecting only y and z is the same for all $i=1, \dots, n$. That is, in order to define $c_{4jk}(y, z)$ we inspect each condition $c_{21jk}(x, y, z)$, ..., $c_{2njk}(x, y, z)$ and factor out what all of them do in common with y and z. Then define:

$$\begin{aligned} j4 &= \langle \text{tag}_{2j}, \text{tag}_{3k} / c_{4jk} \rightarrow \text{tag}_{5jk} \mid j=1, \dots, m, k = 1, \dots, p \rangle \\ 25 \quad j3 &= \langle \text{tag}_{1i}, \text{tag}_{5jk} / c_{3ijk} \rightarrow \text{tag}_{4ij} \mid i=1, \dots, n, j=1, \dots, m, k=1, \dots, p \rangle \end{aligned}$$

4.2.2 The Join-Nest Rule

30 The following holds:

$$R \bowtie_{j1} (\text{Nest}_{n1}(S, T)) = \text{Nest}_{n2}((R \bowtie_{j2} S), T) \quad (\text{join-nest law})$$

35 provided that j1 looks only at the S-component in $\text{Nest}_{n1}(S, T)$. In that case, j2 is "the same" as j1, except that it does not get to see the nested attribute, which j1 did not use anyway. Similarly, n2 is "the same" as n1, except that now it gets to see an R component, which it ignores.

40 From the above description, it will be appreciated that although the specific embodiments of the technology have been described for purposes of illustration, various modifications may be made without deviating from the scope of the

invention. Accordingly, the invention is not limited except by the appended claims.